1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
2	FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA						
3	CHARLOTTESVILLE DIVISION						
4							
5 6	**************************** NICOLE P. ERAMO,						
7	Plaintiff, * TRIAL DAY 7, VOLUME 2 vs. *						
8 9	ROLLING STONE, LLC, * SABRINA RUBIN ERDELY, * Before: WENNER MEDIA, LLC, * HONORABLE GLEN E. CONRAD * UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE						
10	Defendants. * WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ***********************************						
11							
12	APPEARANCES:						
13 14	For the Plaintiff: THOMAS ARTHUR CLARE, ESQUIRE ELIZABETH MARIE LOCKE, ESQUIRE						
15	ANDREW CLAY PHILLIPS, ESQUIRE JOSEPH R. OLIVERI, ESQUIRE Clare Locke, LLP						
16	902 Prince Street Alexandria, VA 22314						
17							
18	For the Defendants: ELIZABETH ANNE McNAMARA, ESQUIRE ALISON SCHARY, ESQUIRE						
19 20	Davis Wright Tremaine, LLP 1251 Avenue of the Americas, 21st Flr. New York, NY 10020						
21	Count Departure Lance & Decardmen DDD CDD						
22	Court Reporter: Lance A. Boardman, RDR, CRR c/o JoRita B. Meyer, RMR, CRR 210 Franklin Road, S.W.						
23	Roanoke, Virginia 24011 540.857.5100, Ext. 5311						
24 25	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer.						

APPEARANCES (Continued):

For the Defendants: W. DAVID PAXTON, ESQUIRE

J. SCOTT SEXTON, ESQUIRE MICHAEL J. FINNEY, ESQUIRE Gentry Locke Rakes & Moore

P. O. Box 40013 Roanoke, VA 24022

	Eramo vs. Rolling Stone, et al 10/24/2016 Vol.	2 3
		J
1	INDEX	
2		
3		
4	<u>WITNESS:</u> <u>PAGE</u>	<u> </u>
5	ELISABETH GARBER-PAUL	
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
7	BY MR. PHILLIPS1	13
8		
9	****	
10		
11		
12 13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

2 INDEX OF EXHIBITS

4	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:						
5	Plaintiff's Exhibit 149	marked for identification	7				
6	Plaintiff's Exhibit 150	marked for identification	8				
7	Plaintiff's Exhibit 149	admitted into evidence	9				
8	Plaintiff's Exhibit 150	admitted into evidence	10				
9	Plaintiff's Exhibit 151	marked for identification	10				
0	Plaintiff's Exhibit 152	marked for identification	10				
1	Plaintiff's Exhibit 151	admitted into evidence	11				
2	Plaintiff's Exhibit 152	admitted into evidence	11				
3	Plaintiff's Exhibit 153	marked for identification	37				
4	Plaintiff's Exhibit 154	marked for identification	38				
5	Plaintiff's Exhibit 155	marked for identification	38				
6	Plaintiff's Exhibit 153	admitted into evidence	38				
7	Plaintiff's Exhibit 154	admitted into evidence	38				
8	Plaintiff's Exhibit 155	admitted into evidence	38				
9	Plaintiff's Exhibit 156	marked for identification	100				
20	Plaintiff's Exhibit 156	admitted into evidence	101				
21	Plaintiff's Exhibit 157	marked for identification	102				
22	Plaintiff's Exhibit 157	admitted into evidence	103				

Eramo	VS.	Rolling	Stone.	et al.	-	10/24/2016	Vol.	2

INDEX OF EXHIBITS (CONT'D) FOR THE DEFENSE: PAGE: Defendants' Exhibit 65 marked for identification.... Defendants' Exhibit 65 admitted into evidence..... Defendants' Exhibit 66 marked for identification.... Defendants' Exhibit 66 admitted into evidence..... 12 ****

(The jury is present in Open Court at 1:23 p.m.)

THE COURT: I can see that all 10 jurors are back in their places, ready for the continuation of this video deposition.

Yes, the video deposition may continue.

(Playing of the videotaped deposition of "Jackie" continued at 1:24 p.m.)

(Playing of the videotaped was paused at 3:00 p.m.)

THE COURT: I understand we need to take a short break before it finishes up, so let's do so. We've passed the mid-afternoon point.

Again, I would ask you, ladies and gentlemen, not to discuss the case with one another when you're away from us. Don't permit anyone to discuss it with you.

Let's plan to return at 10 after 3:00.

(A short recess was taken at 3:01 p.m.)

(The jury is present in Open Court at 3:14 p.m.)

THE COURT: All 10 jurors are back in their places ready to continue with the video deposition.

Now, folks, I understand, and rightly so, that you're tired and you've been -- those chairs are going to grow to you. You're going to have to take them home with you when this is over. But I do encourage you to stand up during dead moments in the courtroom.

And as far as I'm concerned, you can stand up during the

playing of the video depositions. I think it's not 1 2 appropriate for you to stand up when a live witness is 3 testifying. But feel free to do so when there's some dead 4 time or a video deposition's being played. 5 So with that, we may continue. 6 Susan? 7 (The playing of the videotaped deposition of 8 "Jackie" resumed at 3:37 p.m.) 9 (The playing of the videotaped deposition of 10 "Jackie" concluded at 3:19 p.m.) THE COURT: Is that it? 11 12 MR. PHILLIPS: That's the conclusion, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: So we're ready for the next witness? 14 MR. PHILLIPS: We are, Your Honor. Briefly, I have 15 a copy of those designations for the record I'll give to 16 Ms. Moody. I expect we'll be putting that in the record under 17 seal. 18 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 19 MR. PHILLIPS: PTX 149 is our next one. 20 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 149 marked for identification.) 21 22 23 MR. PHILLIPS: Then we have three exhibits we'll be 24 offering as designations. 25 What's been marked for identification as PTX 149 --

1 THE CLERK: This is 149. 2 MR. PHILLIPS: PTX 149. 3 This one we will offer as PTX 150. 4 5 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 150 marked for identification.) 6 7 THE COURT: Are these from the Jackie deposition? 8 MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Okay. So have you agreed on the 10 exhibits? 11 MR. PAXTON: Except for the ones that were raised 12 with the Court where Mr. Bayard yesterday noted his 13 objections. Those are continuing, so we won't repeat those. 14 But the last document that was just offered is entered by 15 agreement. 16 THE COURT: All right. 17 THE CLERK: So 149 is the disk, and 150 is the 18 redacted transcript. 19 THE COURT: So those are admitted over the 20 Defendants' --21 MR. PAXTON: No. Those are with our permission. MR. PHILLIPS: Those are agreed. 22 23 MR. PAXTON: Those are agreed. 24 THE COURT: All right. Let me write down then --25 THE CLERK: So 149 will be sealed but 150 need not

```
1
    be sealed?
 2
              MR. PAXTON: Correct.
 3
              THE CLERK: 149 is the sealed video designated
 4
    deposition.
5
              THE COURT: Okay.
6
7
              (Plaintiff's Exhibit 149 admitted into evidence.)
8
9
              THE CLERK: 150 is the redacted designated video
10
    deposition of Jackie.
11
              MR. PHILLIPS: No, those are -- sorry, those are
12
    texts between Jackie and --
13
              THE CLERK: So these were part of the exhibits that
14
    were offered at the deposition?
15
              MR. PAXTON: Mm-hmm.
16
              THE COURT: 150.
17
              THE CLERK: 150.
18
              MR. PAXTON: But they're redacted since they're
19
    public.
20
         150.
21
              THE CLERK: I didn't get a transcript.
22
              MR. SEXTON: Okay.
23
              MR. PHILLIPS: 149 is the video. 150 is Jackie's
24
    texts with Sara Surface.
25
```

```
(Plaintiff's Exhibit 150 admitted into evidence.)
1
 2
 3
     And we offer as Plaintiff's Exhibit 151 Jackie's texts with
 4
                             Ryan Duffin.
5
              THE CLERK: Are these accepted?
6
              MR. PAXTON: They are.
7
8
              (Plaintiff's Exhibit 151 marked for identification.)
9
10
              MR. PHILLIPS: And as 512, I believe that is -- that
11
    is an e-mail from Jackie to Elizabeth Fox.
12
              MR. SEXTON: That's 152 and -- what was it?
13
              MR. PHILLIPS: Jackie to Elizabeth Fox.
14
              THE CLERK: E-mail dated November 7, 2014, from
15
    Jackie to Fox.
16
17
              (Plaintiff's Exhibit 152 marked for identification.)
18
19
              MR. PAXTON: And those are subject to the previous
20
    Court's ruling.
21
              THE COURT: 151 and 152.
22
              MR. PAXTON: That's correct.
23
              THE CLERK: So they're admitted.
24
              MR. PAXTON: Over our objection.
25
              THE CLERK: Under objection. Okay.
```

1 2 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 151 admitted into evidence.) 3 4 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 152 admitted into evidence.) 5 6 THE COURT: All right. You may call the next 7 witness. 8 MR. PAXTON: I have two, Your Honor. 9 MR. PHILLIPS: The defendants had a couple of their 10 own. 11 MR. PAXTON: Sorry. 12 THE COURT: These are Defendant's Exhibits to the 13 Jackie deposition. 14 MR. PAXTON: That's correct, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: 65. 16 17 (Defendants' Exhibit 65 marked for identification.) 18 19 MR. PAXTON: And that would be what was discussed 20 during the deposition as Defendants' Exhibit 25, which was a 21 compilation of communications between Jackie and -- that were 22 sent from Jackie to Ms. Erdely. It was testified to during 23 Jackie's deposition. 24 MR. PHILLIPS: That's without objection. 25 THE CLERK: That will be Defendants' 65.

```
1
 2
              (Defendants' Exhibit 65 admitted into evidence.)
 3
 4
              THE COURT: Okay.
5
              MR. PAXTON: And the second, Your Honor, is a
6
    document that was discussed during the deposition as
7
    Defendants' Exhibit 32, which are a series of text messages
8
    that have been redacted between Jackie and Rachel Soltis.
              MR. PHILLIPS: That's without objection.
9
10
11
              (Defendants' Exhibit 66 marked for identification.)
12
13
              THE COURT: Okay.
14
              THE CLERK: And that's Defendants' 66.
15
              THE COURT: 66.
16
17
              (Defendants' Exhibit 66 admitted into evidence.)
18
19
              MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you.
20
              MR. PAXTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
21
              THE COURT: Who's next?
22
              MR. PHILLIPS: With that, Your Honor, plaintiff
23
    calls Elisabeth Garber-Paul.
24
25
                       ELISABETH GARBER-PAUL,
```

1 being first duly sworn, testifies and says as follows: 2 THE CLERK: You may have a seat, please. 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION 4 BY MR. PHILLIPS: Good afternoon, Ms. Garber-Paul. 5 6 Hello. 7 You may recall, we met some months back, but again, my 8 name is Andy Phillips, and I represent the plaintiff, Nicole 9 Eramo. 10 Could you just state your name for the record and 11 identify yourself to the jury, please. 12 I'm Elizabeth Garber-Paul. I was the fact checker on 13 this article. 14 Ms. Garber-Paul, you are an employee of Rolling Stone magazine; is that correct? 15 16 That is correct. 17 And specifically, the corporate entity that employs you 18 is Wenner Media, LLC, one of the defendants; is that correct? 19 Α That is correct. 20 And you -- sorry. Wenner Media and Rolling Stone's 21 offices are located in New York City; is that correct? 22 Α Yes. 23 And do you reside in New York as well? 24

Am I correct that your job title at Wenner Media is

Α

Q

25

Yes, in Brooklyn.

- assistant editor?
- $2 \parallel$ A At the time of publication, yes, it was.
- 3 Q Do you have a different title today?
- 4 A Yes. I am now culture editor for RollingStone.com.
 - Q Now, at the time of the article, your primary job
- 6 responsibility for Rolling Stone was fact-checking feature
- 7 | articles; is that correct?
- 8 A Yes.

- 9 Q Is that still true today?
- 10 | A No, it's not.
- 11 | Q What are your primary responsibilities today?
- 12 | A I now edit the culture section for the website.
- 13 | Q And as you already mentioned, you were the Rolling Stone
- 14 | magazine fact checker assigned to fact check "A Rape On
- 15 Campus, the article, correct?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 | Q Ms. Garber-Paul, as a fact checker for Rolling Stone
- 18 | magazine, would you agree that it was your responsibility to
- 19 make sure that an article is completely accurate before it
- 20 goes to publication?
- 21 A Yes, and go through line by line and word by word and
- 22 | make sure that everything was as accurate as possible before
- 23 publication.
- 24 | Q And you had the same responsibility with respect to "A
- 25 Rape On Campus," to make sure that it was completely accurate

- before publication, correct?
- A Yes, sir.

- 3 Q When you were fact-checking articles for Rolling Stone,
- 4 | if you found something that was inaccurate or misleading in a
- 5 draft article that you were fact-checking, it was your job to
- 6 alert the author and/or the editors at Rolling Stone to that
- 7 | inaccuracy, correct?
- 8 A I would say it was more my position to -- it was more my
- 9 role to alert the editor. I would go through any questions I
- 10 | had about something with the writer and then take any
- 11 questions I had then to the editor.
- 12 | Q And when you were fact-checking a draft, you might
- 13 | suggest edits/changes to improve the story's accuracy; is that
- **14** | true?
- 15 | A Yes, sir.
- **16** Q And you would take those to your editor?
- 17 | A Yes, sir.
- 18 | Q Am I correct that you've been an employee at Rolling
- **19** Stone since 2013?
- 20 A Full-time since 2013 and before that, part-time since
- **21** 2010.
- 22 | Q And when you were part-time, you were freelance
- 23 | fact-checking for Rolling Stone; is that right?
- 24 | A Yes, sir.
- 25 | Q When you began working as a freelance fact checker for

- 1 Rolling Stone, you did not go through any sort of formal
 2 training program related to fact-checking; is that correct?
- A No. I had already done fact-checking work at other
 publications, so they walked me through what the system was
 there, but there was no formal training.
 - Q Am I correct that Rolling Stone never provided you with any written policies or procedures for fact-checking?
- 8 A Yes, that's true.
- 9 Q And in fact, Rolling Stone does not have any written10 policies or procedures for fact-checking; is that correct?
- 11 | A Yes.

7

22

23

24

- 12 Q And that was true in November of 2014 as well, correct, 13 when the article was published?
- **14** | A Yes.
- 15 Q At the time that the article was published, Rolling Stone
 16 had no policy relating to the use of anonymous sources; is
 17 that correct?
- 18 A We would take everything on an individual basis.
- 19 \parallel Q Was that also true with respect to the use of pseudonyms?
- 20 A Yeah. We would look at everything on a case-by-case basis.
 - Q At the time the article was published, Rolling Stone had no policies or procedures with respect to how fact-checkers are supposed to verify quotes; is that correct?
 - A We had nothing formal, but we -- there was nothing

formal, that's true.

- $2 \mid Q$ Now, as a fact checker for Rolling Stone when working on
- 3 | a feature article like "A Rape On Campus," you often worked
- 4 | within some time constraints; is that true?
- 5 A Yeah. We had deadlines for the articles.
- 6 Q And in fact, when you were assigned an article to fact
- 7 | check, like "A Rape On Campus," at the time it's assigned to
- 8 you, there's a deadline for the completion of fact-checking;
- 9 | is that correct?
- 10 A Yeah. We knew when the article had to go to press, so we
- 11 worked within those constraints.
- 12 | 0 And is that the close date?
- 13 A Yes, exactly.
- 14 | Q That deadline, the close date, that's based on Rolling
- 15 | Stone's preset publication date for the article; is that
- 16 | right?
- 17 | A Yes, sir.
- 18 | Q And that was, in fact, the case with "A Rape On Campus,"
- 19 when you were assigned to fact-check the article, there was a
- 20 preset close date when your fact-checking was supposed to be
- 21 completed; is that correct?
- 22 A Yes. We knew what the schedule was for that issue, so we
- 23 knew when we had to be completed by, yeah.
- 24 Q And you recall that "A Rape On Campus" closed on
- 25 Wednesday, November 12th?

- 1 A I would have to look at a calendar, but, yes, that sounds 2 correct.
 - Q Okay. Now, you were assigned the article "A Rape On Campus" to fact-check in early November, the first week of November, about two weeks before the article was published; is that correct?
 - A Yes. It was the Monday before that Wednesday, so it was I guess about 10 days.
 - Q Okay. And it was about a week or so before the article was due to close?
- 11 A A week and a couple days, so I was assigned it on a

 12 Monday, and then it was due to close the following Wednesday.
- 13 Q So about nine days?
- 14 A Yes. Thank you.
- 15 Q Now, you received some backup materials for your

 16 fact-checking of "A Rape On Campus" from Sabrina Rubin Erdely;

 17 is that correct?
- 18 | A Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 19 Q Including some e-mail correspondence and Ms. Erdely's 20 reporting notes; is that correct?
- 21 A It was some correspondence, the notes, some photographs, 22 some documents. It was a whole Dropbox full of information.
- 23 Q If you could find up there, Ms. Garber-Paul, I know there's quite an array of binders surrounding you.
- 25 A Yes, sir.

Q But the document we've marked at Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 10 is what we've been referring to as Ms. Erdely's reporting file for "A Rape On Campus." It should be spiral bound. Mr. Sexton can probably help you find it.

MR. SEXTON: That's it.

Do you want me to take this one and put it away?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MS. McNAMARA: You might want to move in I think it's Exhibit 2A or -- it's the one that's unredacted.

COURT SECURITY OFFICER: 64.

MS. McNAMARA: It might come up and not be relevant but --

MR. PHILLIPS: I'm actually not asking her about it right now. I have a general question about the reporting file, but if we come to it and there's a redaction issue, I'll keep in mind that that's an option.

- Q Actually, all I'm asking, Ms. Garber-Paul, is whether PTX 10, do you recognize that as Ms. Erdely's reporting file for "A Rape On Campus"?
- A Yes, I do.

- Q I want to confirm that you were provided this entire reporting file prior to the publication of "A Rape On Campus"; is that right?
- 24 A Yes, I was.
 - Q So all of the information that's contained in PTX 10,

Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 10, was available to Wenner Media and Rolling Stone prior to the publication of "A Rape On Campus"; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q So we discussed, Miss Garber-Paul, how while fact-checking an article you may bring edits, suggested changes, or questions to your editor.

Do you recall that testimony?

A Yes.

- Q Was your editor -- the editor for "A Rape On Campus" at Rolling Stone, was that Sean Woods, who's seated over here at defendants' table?
- 13 A Yes, it was.
- 14 Q And did you in fact review your fact-checking edits for
 15 "A Rape On Campus" with Mr. Woods in his office prior to
 16 publication?
 - A Yes, for each round of edits, we sat down and went through them in his office at Rolling Stone.
 - Q And isn't it true that as the assigning editor for the article and the deputy managing editor for Rolling Stone magazine, that Sean Woods was the one who had final say as to any of your proposed edits or changes to "A Rape On Campus"?

 A Yes.

MR. PHILLIPS: All right. I'm going to ask Brian to call up what's previously been admitted as Plaintiff's Trial

Exhibit 31.

MR. SEXTON: I'll get you the right book here.

THE CLERK: It's the black one, one of the big black ones.

THE WITNESS: Is it this one back here?

MR. SEXTON: Well, there's so many. I think it is.

Yes.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.

BY MR. PHILLIPS:

Q We'll have it on the screen for you as well, Miss
Garber-Paul, but feel free to refer to whichever you're more
familiar with.

Do you recognize Plaintiff's Exhibit 31 as an exchange of e-mails between you and Sabrina Rubin Erdely on November 3rd, 2014?

A Yes, I do.

MR. PHILLIPS: Can we go all the way to first e-mail in the chain, Brian? It's going to be the last page.

Q So Ms. Garber-Paul, in the initial e-mail in this chain, shortly after noon on November 3rd, 2014, you informed Ms. Erdely that you were going to be fact-checking "A Rape On Campus"; is that correct?

A Yes, that's correct.

Q And this was in fact your first communication with Ms. Erdely regarding the article that became "A Rape On

Campus"; is that right?

1

3

4

5

8

18

19

20

- $2 \parallel$ A Regarding this article, yes.
 - Q And you also mention in your e-mail that Sean had provided you with the latest draft of the article that morning.
- 6 Do you see that?
- 7 A Yes, he had.
 - Q And you were referring to your editor, Sean Woods?
- 9 A Yes, I was.
- MR. PHILLIPS: If we could go to the 4:19 p.m.

 11 e-mail, Brian.
- 12 Q And at 4:19, Miss Garber-Paul, Sabrina Rubin Erdely sent

 13 you the contact info for the woman that we're calling Jackie

 14 in this proceeding; is that right?
- **15 ∥** A Yes.
- 16 Q She also provided you what she called a, quote,
 17 sorry-looking transcript, end quote.
 - And my understanding is that that was an original reporting file that was longer and had some non-UVa-related materials in it, but also included everything that's in Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 10.
- 22 | Is that your recollection as well?
- 23 \parallel A That was my understanding as well, yes.
- 24 Q I want to look at your response to Ms. Erdely at 5:45 p.m. on November 3rd.

You wrote, "Hey, Sabrina. Thanks so much for sending this over. Quick question: Did we ever get comment from Tom, or reach out for one? Gonna take off for the night. Talk tomorrow. Liz."

Is that an e-mail that you sent to Sabrina Rubin Erdely on November 3rd?

A Yes, it is.

- Q Now, when you asked Ms. Erdely if Rolling Stone had ever gotten a comment from Tom, or reached out for one, you were referring to the supposed ringleader of Jackie's gang rape; is that correct?
- 12 A Yes. I believe his name was Drew in the final article.
 - Q Right. And it's my understanding that he was originally referred to as Tom, and that became Drew in the final published version of the article. Is that correct?
 - A I think it was before the final published, but yeah, over the course of editing.
 - Q And both of those were pseudonyms, fake names, right?
- 19 A Yes, that's correct.
 - Q When you asked Ms. Erdely, "did we ever get comment from Tom, or reach out for one," by "we," were you referring to sort of the Rolling Stone magazine unit of folks that were working on the story?
- 24 A Yes, exactly. I was kind of using a royal "we" as, you know, Sabrina and us.

- Q And you and Sean Woods?
- 2 A Mm-hmm. Yes. I'm sorry.
- 3 Q So you sent Ms. Erdely this e-mail asking about whether
- 4 Rolling Stone ever got comment from Tom within just a few
- 5 hours of reading the article for the first time; is that
- 6 | right?

- 7 A Yes. I had read it that day.
- $8 \parallel \text{Q}$ In fact, that was the first substantive question that you
- 9 asked Ms. Erdely about the article, correct?
- 10 A Yes, it was.
- 11 | Q You wanted to know as the fact checker for Rolling Stone:
- 12 ☐ Did we find this guy, did we talk to him, right?
- 13 A It was a question I had, yes.
- 14 | Q It was the first question you had?
- 15 \parallel A Yes, it was.
- 16 MR. PHILLIPS: Brian, let's call up Plaintiff's
- 17 | Trial Exhibit 27, specifically ERAMO-04547.
- And Miss Garber-Paul, that's also going to be in the
- 19 same binder, I hope, Tab 27.
- THE WITNESS: Number 27?
- 21 MR. PHILLIPS: Yes.
- 22 THE WITNESS: Thanks.
- 23 BY MR. PHILLIPS:
- 24 Q Do you recognize Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 27 as the
- 25 Columbia Journalism Report that Rolling Stone commissioned

- regarding the article?
- 2 A Yes, I do.
- $oxed{3}$ Q I want to ask you specifically about the statement from
- 4 | the Columbia folks on the page that has the Bates stamp
- 5 ERAMO-04547. It should be up on your monitor there.
- **6 ■** A Yes.

- 7 Q Columbia said: "Journalistic practice and basic fairness
- 8 require that if a reporter intends to publish derogatory
- 9 information about anyone, he or she should seek that person's
- 10 side of the story."
- 11 Do you see that?
- 12 | A Yes, I do.
- 13 | Q And you agree with that statement, don't you?
- 14 | A I agree that whenever possible, it's best to track down
- 15 everybody mentioned in an article.
- 16 | Q Now, the article accused Drew/Tom of being the ringleader
- 17 | of a violent gang rape, correct?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 | Q You would agree that's a derogatory accusation, yes?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Now, you, Rolling Stone, and Ms. Erdely had the name Jay
- 22 | for that person, correct? That's the name that Jackie used
- 23 with you?
- 24 A That's the name we had been given, yes.
- $25 \parallel$ Q And the article also said that he was a member of Phi

Kappa Psi fraternity at the University of Virginia; is that correct?

A That is correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

- Q The article said that he was a third-year, a junior, at UVa in 2012, correct?
- A That's correct.
- Q The article also said that he worked as a lifeguard at the UVa pool in the fall of 2012, correct?
- A That's correct.
- 10 Q Am I correct that Rolling Stone did not seek or obtain
 11 Drew/Tom/Jay's side of the story prior to publication?
 - A I know that Sabrina had spent a long time looking for this individual, and I, using the information that we had, tried to find him and was not able to.

And we did not think that he would be recognizable, so we thought that using a pseudonym would be acceptable, especially since Jackie felt so uncomfortable -- it was my understanding that Jackie was so uncomfortable disclosing the name of her rapist.

- Q Now, I want to make sure I understand your testimony.
- You just testified that it was Rolling Stone's belief that Drew, the supposed ringleader of the gang rape as described in the article, would not be recognizable?
- 24 A That was my belief, yes.
 - Q Rolling Stone knew his name or thought they knew his

name. They had the name Jay. They stated that he was in Phi Kappa Psi, that he was a junior who worked at the university pool in the fall of 2012.

And it's your testimony that had that -- had that individual existed as a real person, he would not have been identifiable to his peers?

A We believed that based on the fact that we were unable to find him, that he was going to be unrecognizable.

MR. PHILLIPS: Lets go back to the e-mail chain if we could, Brian. That was -- you're ahead of me.

- Q That was back to Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 31,
- 13 A Okay.

Ms. Garber-Paul.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

- Q Do you see that Ms. Erdely responded to your comment
 about reaching out for a comment from Tom/Drew at 6:16 p.m. on
 November 3rd?
- 17 | A Yes.
 - Q And you'd asked if we ever identified him or sought comment. She said, "Unfortunately, the answer is no and no."

 Do you see that?
 - A Yes.
- Q And you understood that at the time to mean that

 Ms. Erdely did not get a comment from Tom and had not reached

 out for a comment from Tom, correct?
 - A I understood that to be that, yes, she had not gotten a

comment.

1

2

3

4

5

7

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

- Q So within a few hours of reading the draft article for the first time, you, the Rolling Stone fact checker, asked the author whether she had ever gotten a comment from the alleged rapist or reached out for one, correct?
- 6 A Yes, that's true.
 - Q And Ms. Erdely told you, no, she had not, correct?
- 8 A Yes, that's true.
- 9 Q Isn't it true that the following day you spoke with both
 10 Sean Woods and Ms. Erdely regarding the fact that Ms. Erdely
 11 had not located, identified, or spoken to the alleged
 12 ringleader of the gang rape?
 - A Yes, we spoke about it extensively the next day, and I -it was my understanding that Sean and Sabrina had been
 speaking about it before I was brought into the --
 - Q Okay. So there's no doubt that as of approximately November 4th, 2014, Mr. Woods, the deputy managing editor for Rolling Stone magazine, was aware that Ms. Erdely did not know who this individual was and had not spoken to him, correct?
- 20 A That's my understanding, yes.
 - Q During your conversation with Ms. Erdely, she told you she didn't know who this person was, right?
- 23 A She told me that Jackie was very scared of disclosing the 24 full name of her attacker.
 - Q So she in fact told you that Jackie refused to disclose

- the identity of this individual to her, right?
- 2 A I don't believe she used the word "refused," but she told 3 me she was very scared.
 - Q She told you that she'd asked Jackie for it, right?
- 5 | A Yes.

4

9

18

- Q So we can quibble over the word "refused," but Ms. Erdely told you she had asked Jackie for this individual's name, and Jackie had declined to provide it. Is that fair?
 - A Yes, that's fair.
- 10 Q So you, as the Rolling Stone fact checker, were aware as

 11 of the first week of November 2014 that Jackie declined to

 12 disclose to the magazine the name of the alleged ringleader of

 13 her gang rape, correct?
- 14 | A Yes, that's correct.
- 15 Q Now, in the course of fact-checking this article, you
 16 spoke to Jackie on the telephone on a couple of occasions; is
 17 that correct?
 - A On two occasions for about two hours each, and then over the course of the next few days a few more times.
- 20 Q And when you spoke to Jackie, you asked her for the name 21 as well, didn't you?
- A I don't -- I don't remember that I pressed her for the

 full name. I definitively talked to her about the attack and

 about -- I asked her what the first name was that she had

 given to Sabrina.

- Q Did you, as the Rolling Stone fact checker, make any effort to obtain the real identity of Drew from Jackie when you spoke to her?
 - A I asked if she would talk to me about it, but I did not press her for the full name of the attacker.
 - Q And you never learned that information on your own prior to publication, correct?
- A No, I did not.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

16

- 9 Q So just to confirm, it's true that at the time of
 10 publication of "A Rape On Campus," you, the fact checker for
 11 Rolling Stone magazine, did not know the identity of the
 12 individual that supposedly perpetrated the gang rape that was
 13 described in the article; is that correct?
- 14 A It's true, I did not know his full name. I believed him
 15 to be a real person.
 - Q You had only the name Jay; is that correct?
- 17 A That's correct.
- 18 Q Did you have any understanding of whether Jay was a
 19 nickname? Was it short for Jack? Jake? James?
- 20 Did you have any idea at the time of publication?
- 21 A I came learn that his -- I believed his name to be James, 22 though I may have learned that from Sabrina.
 - Q After publication of the article?
- 24 A I believe it was before, but it's a little bit --
- 25 Q Did you identify any James who was a member of Phi Kappa

- Psi prior to the publication of the article?
- $2 \parallel$ A We did not have a roster of the members of Phi Kappa Psi.
 - Q The answer to my question is "no"?
 - A Yes, that's true.
- 5 MR. PHILLIPS: Brian, let's pull up Plaintiff's 6 Trial Exhibit 26, if we can.
 - Q Do you recognize Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 26 as a chain of e-mails between you and Sabrina Rubin Erdely on the 4th and 5th of November, 2014?
- 10 | A Yes.

3

4

7

8

- 11 MR. PHILLIPS: Brian, could we go back a page?
- 12 Q And I want to look at that e-mail at the bottom here, 13 your 3:36 p.m. e-mail to Ms. Erdely.
- 14 Do you see where I'm at?
- **15** | A Sure.
- 16 Q And you told Ms. Erdely in this e-mail that you'd just 17 spoken to Jackie for two hours, correct?
- 18 A For about two hours, yes.
- 19 Q You also referenced setting up a second "phoner" on 20 Thursday. Do you see that?
- 21 A Yeah, that was the second phone call I referred to 22 before.
- 23 Q And you did in fact have a second phone call with Jackie 24 a few days after this?
- 25 A Yes, I did.

1 And you asked Jackie -- or, I'm sorry. 2 You asked Ms. Erdely in the second paragraph of your 3 e-mail about cutting a line about Jackie contracting syphilis. 4 Do you see that? 5 Α Yes, I did. 6 You also noted that Jackie asked you to change the name 7 of Tom. 8 Do you see that? 9 I had asked Jackie if she had anyone in her life named 10 Tom, because I wanted to make sure that we weren't 11 inadvertently talking about somebody that perhaps she knew. 12 She told me that she dated a person named Tom. So that's 13 why we changed it from Tom to Drew, I believe. 14 Okay. When had she dated this person named Tom; do you 15 know? 16 I don't know that. 17 Did Rolling Stone ever speak to that individual? 18 Α No, we didn't. 19 MR. PHILLIPS: Could we go to the next e-mail in the 20 chain, Brian? 21 Sabrina Rubin Erdely's response to you. She said: 22 Fantastic. I'm glad to hear it went well. Thanks for letting 23 me know and for letting her know we'll be accommodating her, 24

which seems crucial towards getting her through this process.

Yes, let's take out the syphilis line and change Tom to

something else.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

And then it continues.

Do you see that?

- A Yes, I do.
- Q Am I correct that the initial draft of the article that you received contained an assertion that Jackie had contracted syphilis from her sexual assault?
- 8 A Yes, that's what the article said.
- 9 Q Jackie never provided you or Ms. Erdely with any
 10 confirmation that she was in fact diagnosed with syphilis; is
 11 that correct?
 - A That's true. We had taken it out of the article, so I didn't put too much stock in it. Also, I didn't think that necessarily having her prove she contracted syphilis -- you could contract that through any kind of sexual encounter.
 - Q Well, and that's fair.
 - It wouldn't necessarily prove that she was gang raped, would it?
- 19 A No, that's true.
- 20 Q But it would corroborate that she had syphilis, as she told Ms. Erdely that she did; is that true?
- 22 A That's true but --
- Q The medical records would corroborate that she in fact had been tested and diagnosed with syphilis, as she told
- 25 Ms. Erdely, correct?

- A That would have corroborated that, yes.
- Q Did you know, as the Rolling Stone fact checker prior to publication, whether Sabrina Erdely had ever requested such documentation from Jackie, medical records diagnosing syphilis?
- A I don't know.

MR. PHILLIPS: Brian, let's pull up the rest of that e-mail, please.

Q In her 5:07 p.m. e-mail to you, Ms. Erdely also gave you what she called a, quote, note of warning.

Do you see that?

- A Yes, I do.
- Q And she said: "When you talk to any one of these UVa girls, assume you're talking to all of them because they share information like lightning. I've already gotten a text from one student activist" -- and activist is in quotation marks -- "really a covert mouthpiece for the administration, named Sara Surface, that I think she must have sent within minutes of your call with Jackie. She's one of the people who's been trying to convince Jackie not to use her name at all, as per Dean Eramo's wishes. In Sara's text, she demanded to know whether we identified Jackie as a member of One Less. I haven't answered her yet."
- Is that an e-mail that you received from Ms. Erdely?

 A Yes, it is.

Q Ms. Erdely refers to a woman named Sara Surface as a, quote/unquote, activist, and calls her a covert mouthpiece for the administration.

Do you see that?

A Yes, I do.

1

2

3

4

5

- 6 Q Sara Surface at the time was a college student at the
 7 University of Virginia, correct?
- 8 A Yes, that was my understanding.
- 9 0 And she was a friend of Jackie's?
- 10 A Yes. That was my understanding as well.
- 11 Q And you actually spoke with Sara Surface during your 12 fact-checking of the article; is that correct?
- 13 | A Yes.

19

20

21

- 14 Q And you were aware at the time that she was an
 15 accomplished student advocate on matters and issues relating
 16 to sexual assault, right?
- 17 A Yes, I knew she was a member of One Less, and I knew she did activist work on campus.
 - Q And when you spoke to Sara Surface, she expressed to you her viewpoint that the school was getting a lot better at its handling of the problem of sexual assault on campus; isn't that correct?
- A Yes, I spoke to her extensively about that and helped -and worked with Sabrina to draft up language to insert into
 the article to make those points clear.

- Q Did Sabrina ever explain to you why she referred to Miss Surface as a covert mouthpiece for the administration?
- A No. To be honest, I didn't put very much stock into this paragraph. I took this to be -- I understood this as perhaps Sabrina's interpretation. But I spoke with Sara Surface as an authority on the activism that was going on on campus.
- Q You made reference earlier, Miss Garber-Paul, to rounds of fact-checking for the article. Do you recall that?
- A Yes, I did.
- 10 | Q So I wanted to move on to that topic.
- A Sure.

- Q Am I correct that you did three major rounds of fact-checking on different drafts of this article?
- A Yes. There was the Word document that was given to me initially that I referred to on that first Monday e-mail.
- And then there was -- later in the week it was placed into layout, and I saw it as a Round 1.

And then I believe the following week it went to Type Final, which was the final copy that we worked on.

- Q I have copies of what I believe to be these rounds for you to try and avoid binder flipping here, so I'm going to provide those to you. I think it might make it easier?
- A Do you guys actually have the larger copies of these?
 Because these were originally 11 by 14s.
 - MS. McNAMARA: Yeah, Andy, we actually have them.

```
1
    Do you want us to move them in? It will be much easier for
 2
    the witness to have them.
 3
              MR. PHILLIPS: Sure, sure, if you have them.
 4
         We'll also put them up on the screen, of course.
5
              THE WITNESS: Okay. Can I move this binder for now?
6
    Is that okay?
7
              MR. PHILLIPS: Yeah, you can put that aside.
8
              MS. McNAMARA: Can we just get them for you, Andy --
9
              MR. PHILLIPS: Sure.
10
              MS. McNAMARA: -- and then you can have her...
11
         Do you want to have these marked as three separate or --
12
              MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, let's mark them as separate
13
    exhibits, please.
14
              MS. McNAMARA: Should we bring them in as
15
    defendants'?
16
              MR. PHILLIPS: We can put them in as plaintiff's.
17
    Let's do that. I think our next in line is 153, but Miss
18
    Moody will correct me if I'm wrong.
19
              THE CLERK: 153, yes.
20
              MS. McNAMARA: So this one would be 153.
21
              THE CLERK: These are agreed on?
22
              MS. McNAMARA: These are agreed on?
23
              MR. PHILLIPS: Yes.
24
25
               (Plaintiff's Exhibit 153 marked for identification.)
```

(Plaintiff's Exhibit 155 admitted into evidence.)

BY MR. PHILLIPS:

- 2 | Q If I can ask you, Miss Garber-Paul, first to take a look
- 3 at the document I had handed you that's labeled PTX 42, the
- 4 smaller version.
- 5 A Oh, sure.
- $6 \parallel$ Q And can you confirm that that is in fact the first round
- 7 of fact-checking edits that you did for "A Rape On Campus"?
- 8 A Yes, it is.
- 9 Q And is that the same document as what has now been marked
- 10 | as Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 153, albeit 153 is a much larger
- 11 | version of the same document?
- 12 | A Yes, it is.
- 13 MR. PHILLIPS: Brian, I ask you to call up 42,
- 14 please.
- 15 | Q Miss Garber-Paul, this document, Plaintiff's Trial
- 16 Exhibit 42 and Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 153, contains
- 17 | handwritten notations that you made as part of your
- 18 | fact-checking process for the article; is that correct?
- 19 | A Yes.
- 20 Q Am I correct that all of the handwritten notations on
- 21 | this document were made by you?
- 22 A Yes, sir, they were.
- 23 | Q And this would have been during the first week of
- **24** November 2014?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q And some of these notes were made while you were on the 2 phone with Jackie; is that correct?
 - A Many of them were, yes.
 - Q I want to start by looking at an example of one of your edits.
 - A Sure.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

- Q I'm going to ask you to go to the page with the Bates stamp RS004812.
- Do you see on the top right-hand corner there's a note that says "she wasn't really yelling"?
- 11 | A Yes.
 - Q And this is in the section that's describing the gang rape attack, correct?
 - A The initial phase of it, yes.
- 15 Q And there's an arrow going back from that note to the text, the portion of the text where it had said that Jackie was yelling during her assault.
 - Do you see that?
- **19** A I'm sorry?
- 20 Q There's an arrow from a portion of the text in the draft
 21 that says that Jackie was "yelling during her assault," and
 22 then you draw an arrow and had written a note that said "she
 23 wasn't really yelling."
- 24 Do you see that?
- 25 A Yes.

- Q So what you're doing there is you're making a note that this may be something in the article we want to change. This is something that Jackie's told me is inaccurate; is that fair?
- A It's something Jackie clarified for me, yeah.
 - Q Miss Garber-Paul, you should also have in front of you one of the documents I gave you as PTX 1. That's the final published version of the article.
- A Yes, I do. Sorry.
- 10 Q And do you recognize Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 1 as the 11 final published version of the article?
- 12 | A Yes, I do.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 13 Q I'm going to ask you to turn to the page with the Bates
 14 stamp RS001072.
- 15 A Mm-hmm. Sorry. Yes.
- 16 Q And in particular, in the right-hand column, the paragraph beginning "two years later," with the big T.
- 18 Do you see that?
- 19 A Yes, I do.
- Q It says in the final version of the article, "Two years later, Jackie, now a third-year, is worried about what might happen to her once this article comes out. Greek life is huge at UVa, with nearly one-third of undergrads belonging to a fraternity or sorority, so Jackie fears the backlash could be big, a, quote, shitshow predicted by her now-former friend

Randall, who, citing his loyalty to his own frat, declined to be interviewed."

Do you see that?

A Yes, I do.

- Q I want to look at the edits that you proposed to this paragraph during your first round of fact-checking. So again, that's PTX 42 and/or PTX 153.
- A Can you tell me which Bates stamp that is?
- Q Yes. Let's go to page RS004814.

MR. PHILLIPS: I'm being told I'm squiggling on the screen inadvertently.

MR. CLARE: Just touch down there.

Q All right. So Ms. Garber-Paul, so looking at RS004814 in the first fact-checking round, do you see in the same sentence here, it's about in the middle of the page or slightly above it, "Greek life is huge at UVa with one-third of undergrads belonging to a fraternity or sorority, so Jackie fears the backlash could be big, a shitshow predicted by her now former friend Randall, who, citing his loyalty to his own frat, declined to be interviewed."

Do you see that?

- A Yes, I do.
- Q Do you see that in the left-hand margin, next to the quote about the article being a shitshow from Randall, you'd written a note that says, "Put this on Jackie, question mark,"

correct?

1

2

3

4

5

7

- Yes, I wrote that.
- You were suggesting with that note that the article should make it clear that this supposed quote came from Jackie and not directly from Randall, correct?
- 6 Α Yes.
 - That's what you meant by "put this quote on Jackie"?
- 8 Yeah. Α
- 9 And you understood at the time, did you not, that Rolling 10 Stone had not interviewed or sought comment from Randall?
- I understood that we had asked Jackie if we could get in 12 touch with him, and she told us that he was not interested in 13 speaking to us.
- 14 Right. So it was Jackie who told you that Ryan declined 15 to be interviewed -- sorry -- Randall declined to be 16 interviewed. It was not Randall who told you directly that he 17 declined to be interviewed?
- 18 Yes, that's true. A
- And that's what you were trying to make clear with this 19 20 note here, correct?
- 21 Α Yes.
- 22 Let's look back at that same sentence in PTX 1, the final 23 version of the article.
- 24 Do you see that the same shitshow quote from Randall 25 ended up being published by Rolling Stone exactly as it

appeared in that draft?

A Yes, I do.

- Q So am I correct that your suggestion to put this on Jackie was not ultimately adopted by the decision makers at Rolling Stone?
- A After a discussion, we decided not to, yes.
 - Q Looking at the draft again, PTX 42, same sentence.

You'd also suggested a note there where it says that Randall declined to be interviewed, citing loyalty to his own frat, you wrote a note that said "who Jackie says declined to be interviewed."

Do you see that?

- A Yes. I was referring to the same thing. They weren't two separate thoughts really. I was just trying to figure out a way that maybe we could put that in.
- Q Same issue: You were proposing ways that Rolling Stone could make it clear to readers that Rolling Stone had never spoken with Randall and that Randall had not declined to be interviewed, at least not directly, correct?
- 20 A Yes, that's true.
 - Q That suggested language was not adopted by the decision makers at Rolling Stone, correct?
- \parallel A Yes, that's true, though in retrospect, I wish we had.
- MR. PHILLIPS: Brian, if we could just go back to
 the -- no, let's go back to the PTX 42 but without the full

quote there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

17

18

20

21

22

25

Let's go back to PTX 42, the same page we were on.

Q And I just wanted to ask you about this note on the right-hand side here, also in red.

Did you write on this draft next to the same sentence,
"Is this on her too? Any way we can confirm with him?"

Is that your note?

- A Yes, I wrote that.
- Q You underlined "this," correct?
- 10 A Yes, though often when I'm writing, I underline things
 11 just as a tick of my note taking.
 - Q What you were asking, Ms. Garber-Paul, was whether

 Rolling Stone could confirm with Randall that Randall actually

 declined to be interviewed, correct?
- 15 A Yes. I was wondering -- it was a note to myself to find 16 out if there's a way that we could get in touch with him.
 - Q And to confirm whether Randall had actually referred to the article, the coming article, as a shitshow, correct?
- 19 A To confirm that he declined to comment.
 - Q And in fact, you asked Jackie when you spoke to her if you could get in touch with Randall, and she said no, he's declined to participate; is that correct?
- 23 A She told me that he had been very adamant that he was not interested in speaking with a national magazine.
 - Q So Jackie declined to provide you with any contact

- information for Randall; is that correct?
- 2 A I asked if she would put us in touch, and she made it
- 3 very clear to me that he was not interested. So I didn't
- 4 press the matter.
- Did you ever ask Jackie for Randall's full name, his real
- 6 name?

7

9

- A I had a first name, but I did not have a last name. And
- 8 I don't recall that I asked her for that, no.
 - Q You had the name Ryan, correct?
- 10 | A Yes.
- 11 | Q You don't recall if you ever asked Jackie for a last name
- 12 to go with Ryan?
- 13 | A No.
- 14 | Q You never asked Jackie for his e-mail address?
- 15 A Well, I asked if we could be put in touch, and I -- when
- 16 | she said that he was very adamant about not talking to a
- 17 | magazine, I respected that, and I didn't press the matter.
- 18 And then that's when I made the suggestion to make it more
- 19 clear that this -- this had come from Jackie.
- 20 Q Now, the fact that Jackie said that Randall didn't want
- 21 | to participate in the article, that didn't stop you, the
- 22 | Rolling Stone magazine fact checker, from reaching out to
- 23 | Randall to confirm that that was actually the case, did it?
- 24 \parallel A No, but I didn't have contact information for him, so I
- 25

couldn't.

- Q So the fact that Jackie refused to provide Rolling Stone with contact information from Randall -- for Randall, that prevented you from reaching out to Randall; is that correct?
- A It was my understanding that he was very adamant to not speak with us, so we didn't have contact information, and we couldn't reach out.
- Q That understanding came from Jackie, correct?

- A Yes, though at this point, we had 100 percent faith in Jackie.
 - Q But Jackie told you that Randall, an individual who could have corroborated much of her story, corroborated or disproved much of her story about the events of that evening, Jackie told you that Randall didn't want to talk to you, and you accepted that representation; is that correct?
 - A Well, I would say that he could have corroborated the immediate aftermath of what happened, maybe not much of her story but the immediate aftermath of what happened that night. And, yes, I believed her when she told me that a college student didn't want to speak to a national magazine.
 - MR. PHILLIPS: Let's look at Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 1, the published version of the article. I want to go to RS001073.
 - And if we could blow up the left-hand column here of 1073.
 - Q There are two sentences in there I want to ask you about,

Ms. Garber-Paul.

A Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q About the middle of that paragraph, it says, "But the dearth of attention isn't because rape doesn't happen in Charlottesville. It's because at UVa, rapes are kept quiet, both by students-who brush off sexual assaults as regrettable but inevitable casualties of their cherished party culture-and by an administration that critics say is less concerned with protecting its students than it is with protecting its own reputation from scandal."

Do you see that?

- 12 | A Yes, I do.
 - Q I want to look at your notes on Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 42, 153 if you're looking at the large version.
 - A Sure. What's the Bates number there?
- 16 | Q It's going to be RS004815.

Do you see the same statement in this draft that you fact-checked about an administration that's less concerned with protecting students than with protecting its own reputation from scandal?

A Yes, I do.

MR. PHILLIPS: Brian, if we could step back a bit and look at the note next to that.

- 24 Q Did you write that note, Ms. Garber-Paul?
- 25 A Yes, I did.

Q It says, "Yes, but not Dean Eramo," correct?

- 2 A Yes. That was based on my conversation with Jackie, and 3 she expressed to me that opinion.
 - Q Jackie expressed to you the opinion that Dean Eramo was not more concerned with protecting the school's reputation than she was with protecting students, correct?
 - A Yes, but she was not the critics to which we were referring in that sentence. We cite the critics directly below them by name in quotes.
 - Q And Ms. Erdely told us today that none of those critics knew Ms. Eramo personally or knew anything about Jackie's story.

Do you have any reason to disagree with that?

- A No. But we said the administration. We weren't referring to Dean Eramo specifically there.
- Q Does the article mention any other UVa administrators that interacted with Jackie about her sexual assault other than Ms. Eramo?
- A We weren't specifically talking about Jackie's assault in this paragraph, and we do mention other UVa administration members in the article.
- Q None of whom are described as interacting with Jackie, are they?
- A No, but this paragraph is about UVa in general, not about UVa in general UVa in gener

1 Does any other member of the University of Virginia's 2 administration's photograph appear in this article besides 3 Ms. Eramo? 4 Α No. 5 All right. Let's go back to Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 1, 6 the final published version of the article. And I'm on 7 RS001074, please. And in particular, I'm looking at the 8 second full paragraph in the right-hand column beginning with 9 "She was having." 10 Do you see where that is? 11 Yes, I do. 12 There are quotes from students called Andy and Cindy in 13 the article. 14 Do you see that? 15 Yes, I do. Α 16 Andy's quoted as asking Jackie when she was crying about 17 her gang rape, "You're still upset about that?" 18 Do you see that? 19 Α Yes, I do. 20 Cindy is described as a "self-declared hookup queen," and 21 the article says that she asked Jackie, referring to her gang 22 rape, "Why didn't you have fun with it? A bunch of hot Phi 23 Psi guys?" 24 Do you see that? 25

Yes, I do.

Q And according to the article, Andy called Jackie a baby for being upset about being gang raped.

Do you see that?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

Q Let's pull up PTX 42 and look at your notes on the first fact-checking round for this paragraph. And I'd like you to go to RS004821.

Do you see the same statements here in the draft that you fact-checked?

- A Yes, I do.
- 11 Q Although the man referred to as Andy in the final version
 12 of the article is referred to as Andrew in this draft; is that
 13 right?
- 14 A Yes. There are some edits to it, but it's substantively
 15 the same, it seems.
 - Q Let's look at the notes that you wrote in the right-hand margin next to this paragraph.

You've drawn a bracket around this whole paragraph containing these Andrew and Cindy quotes.

Do you see that?

- A Yes, I did.
- 22 Q And you wrote a note that says, "Ask Sean. Need to put on Jackie?"
- 24 Do you see that?
- 25 A Yes, I wrote that.

- Q You wrote that note?
- A Yes, I did.

- Q What your note was referring to was whether the supposed quotes from Andrew and Cindy need to be put on Jackie rather than on Andrew and Cindy, right?
- A That's correct.
 - Q What you meant was ask Sean, you're referring to Sean Woods, the deputy managing editor of Rolling Stone magazine, correct?
- 10 A Yes, I was.
- 11 Q What you meant was ask Sean Woods whether the article

 12 should make it more clear that these supposed quotes from

 13 Andrew and Cindy came from Jackie and Jackie only and that

 14 Rolling Stone had never spoken to Andrew and Cindy. Correct?
 - A Yeah. This was a note to myself for when I went into his office to have the sit-down to discuss this first draft, that this was something that I wanted to discuss with him.
 - Q And if you look at the published version of the article,
 PTX 1 at RS10074. Do you see that the same quotes from Andrew
 and Cindy appear in the final published version of the
 article?
 - A Yes. We came to the determination that this was very much still in her voice, and we were comfortable with having these quotes appear as they did.
 - Q The final published version of the article does not

- incorporate your suggestion to put these quotes on Jackie, 2 does it?
 - No. After a conversation, we agreed that they should run as is. And when we went to press, I fully believed them.
- So you suggested putting these quotes on Jackie -- and let's be clear, putting them on Jackie would have meant 7 saying, you're still upset about that? Jackie says Andy asked one Friday night. Correct? That would be an example of putting it on Jackie?
- 10 Α Yes, exactly.
- 11 Or "Jackie claims that Cindy said why didn't you have fun 12 with it, a bunch of hot Phi Psi guys," right?
- 13 Α Yes.

3

4

5

6

8

9

- 14 That's not what Rolling Stone did, right? Rolling Stone 15 did not put these quotes on Jackie. These quotes are 16 attributed directly to Andy and Cindy in the final published 17 version of the article, correct?
 - Yes, that's correct. A
- 19 Your testimony is that after a conversation about this 20 with Sean Woods, that was the decision that was made, correct?
- 21 Α Yes.
- 22 You're aware now, Ms. Garber-Paul, as we sit here today, 23 that the individual called Andy in the article is actually 24 name Alex Stock; is that correct?
- 25 Yes, I am.

- Q And you're aware that the individual called Cindy in the article is actually named Kathryn Hendley; is that correct?
- A Yes, I am.

- Q It was your understanding when you were fact-checking the article for Rolling Stone that Sabrina Erdely, the reporter, had asked Jackie for Andy's contact information; is that correct?
- A It was my understanding that Sabrina had tried to find contact information for all three of these individuals, yeah.
- Q And one of the ways she tried was by asking Jackie, right, because Jackie said they were friends of hers?
- A Jackie said they used to be friends, and they hadn't been friends after these incidents. They had not been in touch in a long time.
- Q Okay. So let's unpack that.
- So you, as the Rolling Stone fact checker, you knew that Sabrina Rubin Erdely had asked Jackie for Andy's contact information, his full name and his contact information, correct?
- 20 A Yes.
 - Q And you knew that Jackie had declined to provide that information, correct?
- A It was my understanding that they were not interested in speaking with us and that's why she didn't give us that information.

- Let me make sure I have an answer to the question I asked, which was it was your understanding prior to publication that Jackie had declined to provide Ms. Erdely with contact information or a full name for Andy; is that right?
- 6 Α Yes.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

22

23

24

25

- And Cindy, you also knew that Ms. Erdely had asked Jackie for Cindy's contact information and full name, correct?
- Yes. I knew she had looked for these individuals, yes.
- 10 And you knew that Jackie had declined to provide 11 Ms. Erdely with Cindy's contact information and real name, 12
- 13 Yes. Α

correct?

- 14 And your understanding, prior to publication, was that 15 the reason Jackie declined to provide these individuals' names 16 was that she said she was on bad terms with them or had a 17 falling out with them; is that right?
- 18 I'm not entirely sure why she declined, to be honest.
- 19 Did you ask Ms. Erdely why Jackie refused to provide Andy 20 and Cindy's contact information so that you could fact-check 21 the article with them?
 - I don't recall having that conversation with her. I do know that we -- that Sabrina had tried to find these individuals.
 - Okay. Well, you knew that she had not gotten those names

- or their numbers or their e-mail addresses from Jackie, the girl who used to be friends with them, right?
- A Yes. I knew she wasn't able to track them down.
- Q Did you, as the Rolling Stone magazine fact checker, ask
 Ms. Erdely why, why is Jackie refusing to provide us with
 these people's contact information?
 - A I don't remember having that conversation.
 - Q You, as the Rolling Stone fact checker, did not contact these individuals prior to publication to ask them to verify that they actually said any of the quotes that are attributed to them in the article; is that correct?
- A No. I did not have the contact information for them, so
 I was not able to reach out for them -- reach out to them.
 - Q Ultimately, as the editor, it was Sean Woods' decision to go forward with publishing these supposed quotes from Andy and Cindy, these very callous quotes, without actually verifying with Andy and Cindy that they said those things; is that correct?
- 19 | A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- 20 MR. PHILLIPS: Let's pull up PTX 42 again, the first 21 fact-checking round.
- 22 | Q I want to ask you to go to RS004828.
- 23 A 482 -- I'm sorry?
- **24** Q 4828.
- 25 You see the first full paragraph there that begins "If

Dean Eramo" -- it says, "If Dean Eramo was surprised at Jackie's story of gang rape, it didn't show. A short woman with curly dark hair and a no-nonsense demeanor," and then it continues.

Do you see that?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

- Q You had circled the description of Ms. Eramo as having a no-nonsense demeanor, and you had written a question mark next to it, correct?
- 10 A Yes, I did.
- 11 Q And then in the left-hand margin, you'd written,

 12 referring to Ms. Eramo, "Very sweet, second mom figure." Is

 13 that correct?
 - A Yes. That was what Jackie told me in my conversation with her. She made it very clear how much respect she had for Dean Eramo.
 - Q And Jackie made it very clear that she did not want to see Dean Eramo characterized as indifferent to her, didn't she?
- 20 A I don't believe she used the word "indifferent," and I
 21 don't see what here would say indifferent.
- 22 Q I'm not referring to this particular sentence. I'm 23 asking about your conversations with Jackie.
- 24 A Oh. Yes, she did not feel that Dean Eramo had been 25 indifferent to her, no.

Q Let's go back to Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 1, page RS001076. This is the published version of the article.

Now, I want to ask you about the full paragraph in the right-hand column there. It says, "Absent much guidance, Jackie would eventually wonder how other student victims handled her situation. But when she clicked around on UVa's website, she found no answers. All she found were the UVa's police's" -- I'm sorry, "All she found were the UVa police's crime logs, which the university makes available online but are mostly a list of bike theft, vandalism, and public drunkenness complaints."

Do you see that statement in the final published version of the article?

A Yes, I do.

MR. PHILLIPS: Brian, let's pull up PTX 42, the first fact-checking round at RS004830.

Q In your fact-checking graph, do you see that you had underlined this sentence, "All she could find were the UVa police's crime logs"?

A Yes.

MR. PHILLIPS: Brian, if you could pull back a little bit.

- Q And you wrote a note there that says, "She says she never looked into this," correct?
- A Yes. She told me that she hadn't really gone through

- 1 them extensively. She had seen them, and she had scrolled 2 through them quickly and then got a move on to whatever else 3 she was doing.
 - All right. Let's look at your fact-checking edits for round 2.
- 6 This is confusing, but it's called Round 1.
 - I'm sorry. Round 1. Your second round of fact-checking.
- 8 Yes. Α

5

7

- 9 But it's called Round 1.
- 10 Α Yes.
- 11 So am I correct that Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 43 and the
- 12 large version you have in front of you I believe is
- 13 Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 154 is the second round of
- 14 fact-checking edits that you did for "A Rape On Campus"?
- 15 Yes, it is. Α
- 16 Do you see that in this version the article appears more 17 like it does in the final published version? It's sort of 18 arranged in that way now?
- 19 Α Yes.
- 20 And what time frame were you making the fact-checking 21 edits on Round 1 here?
- 22 This came in kind of late on -- later in the week of that 23 first week of fact-checking, so it was either Thursday or 24 Friday and then it went to either Monday or Tuesday of the --25

probably Tuesday of the following week. So kind of right in

the middle.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q Okay. And as with the first version that we looked at, am I correct that all of the handwritten notes on Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 43 and Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 154 are yours?

 A Yes, they are.
- MR. PHILLIPS: Brian, let's pull up the first page of PTX 1, the final version of the article.
- Q I want to ask you about the opening paragraph of the article.
- Do you see that at the very top of the page in big bold letters?
- 12 | A Yes, I do.
- 13 Q And that says, "Jackie was just starting her freshman 14 year at the University of Virginia when she was brutally 15 assaulted by seven men at a frat party. When she tried to 16 hold them accountable, a whole new kind of abuse began."
- 17 Do you see that?
- 18 | A Yes.
- 19 Q That opening paragraph, that's called the deck of the 20 article; am I correct?
- 21 | A Yes, it is.
- Q We'll look at your fact-checking edits to the deck of the article on Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 43.
- In the first sentence, it looks like you -- in the draft it says, "Jackie was brutally assaulted by seven upper

- classmen at a frat party," and you'd suggested changing that to say "students" or "men"; is that right?
- A Yes. And we didn't know that they were necessarily all upper classmen.
- Q And in the final version of the article, they were identified as seven men; correct, so that kind of was accepted?
- A Yes. Yes, it was.
- Q Okay. On your fact-checking draft, PTX 43, you circled the second sentence of the deck; is that right, the one that says, "When she tried to hold them accountable, a whole new kind of abuse began"?
- 13 A Yes, I did.

- 14 Q And did you write the note that's next to that deck on the right-hand side?
 - A I did. Part of my job as a fact checker is to be very overly literal in reading all the different parts of the article, including the deck. And I had initially read that to mean that somehow the abuse she faced on campus, mostly at the hands of her peers, was somehow worse than the initial attack, and I didn't agree with that, but after talking that through with the editors, I -- or with Sean, I realized that it wasn't necessarily a judgment that one was worse than the other. It was just kind of what she faced.
 - Q So let's be clear. That same statement that's in the

- draft deck on PTX 43, that's unchanged in the final published version of the article. It appears exactly the same, correct, "When she tried to hold them accountable, a whole new kind of abuse began"?
 - A Yes, that's true.
- Q And as the Rolling Stone fact checker working on "A Rape On Campus," your first reaction to reading that sentence in the deck was, "Nope." Is that correct?
- 9 A That was my off-the-cuff initial reaction to this, yes.
- 10 | Q In fact, you underlined "nope" twice?
- 11 | A Yes, I did.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 12 Q You discussed that note with Sean Woods, the deputy
 13 managing editor of Rolling Stone and Ms. Erdely's good friend,
 14 correct?
 - A He was the deputy managing editor of Rolling Stone and the editor I was working with on this, and, yes, I did discuss it with him.
 - Q And Sean Woods, the deputy managing editor of Rolling Stone magazine, made the final decision to retain that same language in the deck in the final version of the article, "When she tried to hold them accountable, a whole new kind of abuse began," am I correct?
 - A Yes, and I agreed with him.
- **24** \square Q I'd like to go to PTX 43, page RS004862.
- 25 And in particular, the middle column above the pull

quotes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

18

19

Do you see where I am, Ms. Garber-Paul?

- A Yes, I do.
- Q And this is in the section in the draft that you read that's describing Jackie's gang rape at Phi Kappa Psi; is that
- 7 | A Yes, it is.

correct?

- 8 Q Do you see that there are a number of quotes in here from
 9 Jackie's supposed assailants?
- 10 A Yes. There are, though -- sorry. Yes, yes, there are.
- 11 Q For example, it states that one said, quote, "Grab its 12 mother fucking leg," correct?
- 13 A Yes, though it seems that that's being placed on her.
 14 But, yes, that quote is in here.
- Q Okay. Am I correct that at the time Rolling Stone
 magazine published "A Rape On Campus" -- well, first of all,
 let me back up.
 - This same quote appears in the final published version of the article, correct?
- 20 A I believe so, but -- yes.
- 21 Q Am I correct that at the time Rolling Stone published the
 22 article, as the fact checker for Rolling Stone magazine, you
 23 didn't have any idea who that person was that supposedly said
 24 that to Jackie, correct?
- 25 A Yeah, I don't think Jackie really knew.

- Q Sitting here today as the fact checker for Rolling Stone magazine, do you have any idea if that person exists?
- A I don't know either way.
- Q What about the quote in the next paragraph? And I believe this is discussing the gentleman who supposedly assaulted Jackie with a beer bottle. This is someone speaking to the gentleman assaulting Jackie with the beer bottle.

It quotes one of the supposed assailants as saying -- pardon my language -- "Pussy. What? She's not hot enough for you?"

Do you see that?

12 | A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

22

23

- Q At the time Rolling Stone magazine published this article, did you, the fact checker, have any idea who the person was that supposedly said that?
 - A No, we did not have their identity.
 - Q As you sit here today, do you have any idea if that person exists?
- 19 A I don't know either way.
- 20 Q The previous paragraph also claims that the gang rapists 21 called each other nicknames like Armpit and Blanket.

Do you see that?

- A Yes, that's what Jackie recalled to me.
- 24 Q And that was in the draft that you fact-checked as well 25 as the final published version of the article, correct?

- A Yes, it was.
- Q You don't know who those people are either, do you?
- $3 \parallel$ A No, but they seemed like pretty generic nicknames to me.
 - Q At the time Rolling Stone magazine published "A Rape On Campus," you didn't have any idea who those people were, did
- 6 you?

2

4

5

7

8

9

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A No, but I was comfortable that this was a rape victim recounting her own sexual assault, and I was comfortable having it in her voice. And these were her recollections of
- 10 | that night.
- 11 Q As the Rolling Stone fact checker, you never asked anyone
 12 from Phi Kappa Psi if there were pledges or brothers of that
 13 fraternity in 2012 with the nicknames Armpit or Blanket,
- 14 correct?
- 15 | A That's true.
- 16 Q Let's look at the third paragraph in the middle column of RS004862.
 - This is describing the young man that was allegedly told to assault Jackie with a beer bottle.
 - It says, "As the last boy sank onto her, Jackie was startled to recognize him. He attended her tiny anthropology discussion group."
 - And then it describes how he was unable to "get it up" and was jeered at by the crowd.
 - Do you see that?

- A Yes, I do.
- 2 Q Okay. And the article goes on to claim that this
- 3 classmate of Jackie sexually assaulted her with a beer bottle,
- 4 correct?

- 5 A Yes, it does.
- 6 O There's identifiable information about this individual in
- 7 here, correct, in the draft that you fact-checked?
- 8 A Only that they had both been in an anthropology
- 9 discussion group.
- 10 | Q A tiny anthropology discussion group in the fall semester
- **11** of 2012, correct?
- 12 | A Yes.
- 13 | Q Did you, the Rolling Stone magazine fact checker, ask
- 14 | Jackie what the name of this class was or who the professor
- 15 | was?
- 16 A No. I had a discussion with her about this portion of
- 17 | the story, and I asked her about the class and about what size
- 18 | it was. And I made sure that there were multiple boys in that
- 19 | class so that we wouldn't be calling out, like, the only man
- 20 who happened to be in this class with her. And she recounted
- 21 | this to me in great detail.
- **22** Q What was the size of the class?
- 23 \parallel A To the best of my recollection -- let me see if it's in
- 24 my notes, in the other one.
- 25 To the best of my recollection, it was about 15 people.

- Q You didn't ask Jackie for the man's name, did you?
- 2 A No. Jackie had already expressed that she was very
- 3 scared of her attackers and not willing to disclose the names
- 4 of her attackers.
- 5 Q You certainly didn't know the individual's name at the
- 6 time of publication, correct?
- 7 A No, I did not.
- 8 Q As you sit here today, do you have any idea if that
- 9 person exists or not?
- 10 A I don't know either way.
- 11 | Q On page RS004862, Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 43.
- 12 Looking at the paragraph beginning with "When Jackie came
- 13 | to."

- 14 Do you see that?
- 15 | A Yes.
- 16 Q And this is the portion of, well, both the draft and the
- 17 | final article that describes this meeting that Jackie
- 18 | allegedly had with three friends after her assault?
- 19 | A Yes.
- 20 | Q And in this draft and in the final article, both Andy and
- 21 Cindy are quoted as discouraging Jackie from reporting her
- 22 sexual assault, correct?
- 23 A Yes, that's true.
- 24 | Q Again, as the Rolling Stone fact checker, you never
- 25 | contacted Cindy or Andy to verify these quotes.

I know we looked at other quotes prior, but you never verified these quotes with Cindy and Andy either; is that correct?

- A Yeah, I didn't have their contact information.
- Q You also never spoke with the young man called Randall in the article to verify his quotes, correct?
- A Yes. Like I testified before, it was my understanding that he very much did not want to speak with Rolling Stone.

 And I asked to be put in touch and that had not happened, so I
- Q Okay. Rolling Stone magazine, at the time of publication, believed Randall, Cindy, and Andy to all be students at the University of Virginia, correct?
- 14 A Yes, that's true.

was unable to verify those quotes.

- Q Were you aware when you were fact-checking the article that the University of Virginia has a publicly-available database of students, a directory?
 - A I was not aware at the time of publication, and -- but given that all I had were first names, I -- even if I had them, I'm not sure what I would have been able to do with that.
 - Q So because Jackie refused to provide you with their names, you couldn't search for them in the directory, even had you known about it?
- A Yes, that's true.

- 1 Q You learned after publication of the article that Rachel
 2 Soltis, a young woman Erdely spoke to, had in fact given
 3 Sabrina Rubin Erdely Kathryn Hendley's name; isn't that
 4 correct?
 - A Yes, I found that out after publication.
- 6 Q And Kathryn Hendley is the young woman called Cindy in
 7 the article, right?
- 8 A Yes.

- 9 Q Sabrina Erdely never told you prior to publication that
 10 she had Kathryn Hendley's name; is that correct?
- 11 A It was my impression that Sabrina did not know that she 12 had that name.
- 13 Q It was in her notes, correct?
- 14 A Yes. I -- I was not given that before publication, but I

 15 have no reason to think she was holding it from me.
- 16 Q You mean you were not given that information verbally by 17 Sabrina Erdely?
- 18 A Yes, that's true.
- 19 Q But you had possession of the notes, correct?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q You had possession of Kathryn Hendley's name at the time 22 of publication, correct?
- 23 A It was not her name spelled correctly. It was -- it
 24 was --
- 25 Q Hindley, right? Within one vowel?

- A I think there were two different -- I mean, again I'd have to actually look at the notes, but there were two different things, two different last names, two different versions of spellings of last names. And I read that portion of the notes, but I did not realize what we had there.
- Q We saw earlier that Rolling Stone attributed to Kathryn Hendley some fairly callous quotes, I mean, "Why don't you have fun with it."

It had also referred to her as a "self-declared hookup queen"; is that correct?

11 | A Yes.

- Q Those quotes don't reflect very well on Miss Hendley, do they?
- 14 A No, not well.
 - Q But nobody at Rolling Stone ever contacted her prior to publication to ask if she made those unbelievably callous statements to Jackie, correct?
 - A Had we realized that we had the contact information, we absolutely would have reached out. But as we went to press, I did not have contact information for that individual, and I did not feel I was able to reach out.
 - Q So you, as the Rolling Stone fact checker, had been told Kathryn Hendley's name prior to publication. Say, if Sabrina had told you her name, you would have absolutely reached out to her, correct?

1 Yes. 2 Were you aware at the time of your fact-checking that 3 Alex Pinkleton, one of the --4 THE COURT: If you're switching individuals, this 5 might be a good time for a break. 6 MR. PHILLIPS: I am switching individuals. This is 7 a great time, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: All right. So we have one more 9 mid-afternoon break, ladies and gentlemen, and now it has come 10 that time. 11 I'll again ask that while you're away from us that you 12 not discuss the case with one another. Do not permit anyone 13 to discuss it with you. 14 I'll ask the witness not to discuss her pending testimony with counsel from either side. 15 16 Let's plan to come back at about 5 till 5:00. 17 (A short recess was taken at 4:42 p.m.) 18 (The jury is present in Open Court at 4:57 p.m.) 19 THE COURT: Mr. Phillips, you may continue. 20 MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: All 10 jurors being present and 22 accounted for. 23 BY MR. PHILLIPS: 24 Miss Garber-Paul, before we continue, I want to ask you

25

about Alex Pinkleton.

You remember Alex Pinkleton?

- A Yes, I do.
 - Q And she was one of the sources for "A Rape On Campus," correct?
- 5 | A Yes.

1

2

3

4

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 6 Q Did you have a fact-checking call with Miss Pinkleton?
- 7 A Yes, I did.
 - Q And were you aware when you spoke to Miss Pinkleton that she knew "Cindy," Kathryn Hendley, in real life?
- 10 A No, I was not.
- 11 Q So you didn't ask Miss Pinkleton for Kathryn Hendley's 12 name, I take it?
- 13 A I did not.
 - MR. PHILLIPS: Brian, let's bring up Plaintiff's

 Trial Exhibit 1, the final published version of the article,

 RS001072.
 - Q Miss Garber-Paul, do you see below the bolded pull quote there in the final version of the article there's a statement that says, "Lots of people have discouraged her from sharing her story, Jackie tells me with a pained look, including a trusted UVa dean to whom Jackie reported her gang rape allegations more than a year ago."
- 23 Do you see that?
- 24 A Yes, I do.
 - Q As the fact checker for Rolling Stone magazine, you

- understood prior to publication that the reference to the trusted UVa dean was a reference to Ms. Eramo, correct?
- A Yes, I did.
 - Q Let's go to PTX 43. And I want to look at your fact-checking edits to this statement and draft.
- 6 A Yes.

Q Do you see that where it says, "lots of people have discouraged her from sharing her story," you drew a red line up from there, and at the top of the right-hand margin you wrote, "so publicly."

Do you see that?

- A Yes, I wrote that.
- Q So you had suggested an edit to this sentence to say,

 "Lots of people have discouraged Jackie from sharing her story
 so publicly, Jackie tells me with a pained look"; is that
 correct?
 - A Yes, but then I realized we had "going public" in the following sentence, and that would have just introduced a repetition of the word "public."
 - Q You also proposed a change below that where it says, "who fretted that the article might complicate future proceedings"; is that right?
- 23 A Yes, I wrote that.
- 24 Q And you wrote a bracket around that language about the 25 trusted UVa dean discouraging Jackie from sharing her story.

- Do you see that?
- A Yes, I do.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q And to the right of that you wrote a note, and that note says, "Talk to Sean," right?
- A Yes. I wanted to discuss this section with him, so it was a note to myself.
 - Q Okay. That was a note to yourself to discuss this statement about Dean Eramo with Sean Woods, correct?
- 9 A Yes, correct.
- 10 Q And Sean Woods, the deputy managing editor of Rolling
 11 Stone, ultimately decided to publish this language in the
 12 final article as it is in the draft without these proposed
 13 edits, correct?
 - A After sitting down and talking with him in his office about all my questions about this draft, we determined that it was clear enough as stated, and we didn't need to put in any caveats.
 - Q Okay. So despite the questions that you have in your fact-checking draft, after sitting down and discussing them with Sean Woods, you all decided to publish this as written, correct?
- 22 A Yes, we did.
- 23 Q Let's go to RS004864, Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 43?
- 24 MR. PHILLIPS: Brian, if you could blow up for us 25 the top left-hand column there.

Q Do you see a quote in the draft from a UVa student named Brian Head that says, "Most impressive person at UVa is the person who gets straight As and goes to all the parties, explains fourth-year student Brian Head."

Do you see that?

A Yes, I do.

- Q You wrote a note in the margin next to that quote. That note that you wrote says, "Add that he's the president of One in Four." Is that correct?
- A Yes, it is.
 - Q And you knew that One in Four was a student group comprised of male students that did sexual assault prevention and advocacy outreach work on the UVa campus, correct?
 - A Yes. I believe I discussed that with Brian Head.
 - Q Okay. And you were questioning whether Rolling Stone should identify Brian Head as such as the president of that organization, correct?
 - A Yes, that's true.
- Q The final published version of the article does not identify Brian Head as the president of One in Four, correct?

 It just identifies him as a UVa student?
 - A Yes. We determined that since One in Four hadn't been introduced yet, we -- it wasn't necessary to add that into the identification for Brian Head in this instance.
 - Q And Sean Woods made the ultimate decision on that, again,

- right, not to include a note identifying Brian Head as the 2 president of One in Four?
 - Yes, but I agreed with him on this.
 - Let's go to RS004865. Top left-hand column. There's a quote from a student named Sara Surface.
 - Do you see that?
 - Yes, I do.
 - Says, quote, "I don't know many people who are engrossed in the party scene and have spoken out about their sexual assaults, says third-year student activist Sara Surface."
- 11 Do you see that?
- 12 Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 13 And on this graph, you crossed out the word "activist," 14 correct?
- 15 I did. А
- 16 You were aware at the time that Sara Surface, like Brian 17 Head, was a prominent student advocate on sexual assault 18 prevention and awareness issues, correct?
- 19 A Yes, I was.
- 20 And I believe you mentioned earlier that you were aware 21 that Sara Surface was a member of the student group One Less, 22 correct?
- 23 Yes. She walked me through all of the positive changes 24 that had been happening at UVa.
 - Sara Surface had specifically asked you to include her

affiliation with One Less in the article, correct?

- A Yes. But again, we hadn't introduced One Less in the article, and it seemed like it might be confusing to a reader to introduce an organization that we hadn't fully explained what it was yet.
- Q So like Brian Head, Sara Surface was simply identified as a student discussing the party culture at the University of Virginia. She was not identified as a student advocate, correct?
- 10 A Yes, that's true.
- 11 Q Let's go to page RS004868, Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 43.

 12 Specifically, the bottom paragraph in the left-hand column.
 - You see that this is the section discussing Jackie's first meeting with Emily Renda?
- 15 | A Yes, I do.
- 16 Q And Emily Renda is identified as a fourth-year student 17 who had become active in One Less.
- 18 Do you see that?
- 19 | A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

- Q You wrote a note in the left-hand margin next to Emily
 Renda's name, and you wrote, "Add that she is now employed by
 UVa"; is that correct?
- 23 A Yes, that was a note I wrote.
- 24 Q And you knew that at the time Erdely interviewed her,
 25 Emily Renda actually worked in the dean of students office at

UVa on sexual assault prevention issues, correct?

- A I knew that she was working with UVa, yes.
- Q You were suggesting that Rolling Stone should note that this prominent advocate on sexual assault matters who was so helpful to Jackie is actually employed by the university now; is that correct?
- A It was a note to see if there was a way to put that in, yeah.
- Q That did not make it in, right? The final published version of the article does not note that Emily Renda is employed by the University of Virginia; is that correct?
- 12 A That's true, yes.

Q Let's go to page RS004865, the same document. Middle column, below the bolded pull quote.

And we just discussed Alex Pinkleton. There's a quote here from Alexandria Pinkleton, correct?

- A Yes, there is.
- Q Miss Pinkleton's quote is, "'Hot girls who are really drunk always get in,'" speaking of frat parties. "'It's a good idea to act drunker than you really are,' advises third-year Alexandria Pinkleton, expertly clad in the UVa after-dark uniform of a midriff-bearing sleeveless top and shorts. 'Also, you have to seem very innocent and vulnerable. That's why they love first-year girls.'"

Do you see that?

A Yes.

- 2 Q You were aware that prior to publication, like Sara
- 3 Surface and like Brian Head, Miss Pinkleton was a prominent
- 4 student advocate on sexual assault issues at the University of
- 5 Virginia, correct?
- 6 A Yes, and later in the article, I mention that she
- 7 | accompanies Jackie to a meeting with Dean Eramo.
- 8 Q You also knew that Alex Pinkleton was a member of One
- 9 Less, correct?
- 10 | A Yes.
- 11 Q Miss Pinkleton is not identified in the article as a
- 12 | student activist, correct?
- 13 A No, she's not, though again, she does accompany Jackie to
- 14 meetings and expresses her opinions about these things, so...
- 15 | Q Let's go to page RS004866 of Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit
- **16** 43.
- 17 This is the section of the article that follows Jackie's
- 18 | first meeting with Ms. Eramo.
- 19 Do you see that?
- 20 A Yes, I do.
- 21 | Q It says, "Absent any real guidance, Jackie would
- 22 | eventually wonder how other student victims tend to handle her
- 23 situation." Then it continues.
- 24 Do you see that?
- 25 A Yes.

- Q And you wrote a note off of the statement in the draft Jackie lacked any real guidance, and you wrote in a circle there, "harsh, question mark"; is that correct?
- A Yes, I did. And I believe we changed that.
- And that's correct, that was changed in the final published version of the article, correct? It was changed from "absent any real guidance," which you thought was too harsh, right?
 - A Yeah.

2

3

4

9

- 10 | Q And it was changed to "absent much quidance," correct?
- 11 A Yes. I felt more comfortable with that, so Sean and I
 12 changed it.
- 13 Q Let's go to page RS004857 of Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit
 14 43.
- 15 A I'm sorry. Can you repeat that number?
- 16 Q Oh, sorry. 4867 of Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 43.

 17 This is the rape school quote, right?
- 18 A Yes, it is.
- 19 Q It says that -- mentions President Sullivan's explanation
 20 about best practices and reporting of data, and then it says,
 21 "Jackie got a different explanation when she'd ultimately
 22 asked Dean Eramo the same question. She says Eramo gave her a
 23 wry look and answered, 'because nobody wants to send their
 24 daughter to the rape school.'"

25 Correct?

A That's what this draft said, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q And you wrote a note there on the left that said, "Did Jackie describe this?" Correct?
 - A Yes, that was a question to myself.
- Q And you were referring to the wry look that Ms. Erdely wrote, speaking of Eramo saying this statement to Jackie?
 - A Yes. And I followed up with Jackie to discuss this in particular.
 - Q And you changed it from "She says Eramo gave her a wry look" to "She says Eramo answered wryly"; is that correct?

 That was the edit to that sentence?
 - A Yes, because I thought the "answered wryly" was just referring to the quote itself being wry, not the manner in which she said it.
 - Q Now, the quote itself, "Because nobody wants to send their daughter to the rape school," whether it was made wryly or with a wry look, that quote remained in the final published version of the article, correct?
- 19 A Yes, it does.
- 20 Q And you were in contact with the University of Virginia 21 during your fact-checking of the article, correct?
- 22 A Yes, I was quite a bit.
- 23 Q You asked them a lot of questions?
- 24 | A I did.
- 25 Q You never asked UVa if Dean Eramo ever referred to UVa as

the rape school, did you?

- A They had made it very clear to me that they weren't going to talk to me about interactions specifically between Dean Eramo and students, which I thought was part of their privacy policy and respected, and so I wasn't going to ask them about a comment that was made during a closed-door meeting between a student and a dean.
- Q You didn't try, you didn't ask, correct?
- A No, I did not ask.
- 10 Q You also didn't ask Ms. Eramo. You had her e-mail address, correct?
- 12 A Yes, but I was told specifically by UVa PR to direct all questions through UVa.
 - Q And you never asked UVa PR, can you confirm or deny whether Dean Eramo has ever said UVa is the rape school?
 - A This seemed to be an off-the-cuff comment that she had made to Jackie in a closed-door meeting, and she had told Sabrina about it, and she had offered it to me without much prompting, so I -- and we also put it on Jackie, saying "She says Eramo said that." And so, yes, I was comfortable with this.
 - Q There's a note in the left-hand margin, again we've seen this a few times, it says, "Ask Sean," right?
- 24 A Yes.
 - Q And you meant ask Sean Woods about the rape school quote,

right?

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

14

15

18

19

A Yes, I did.

discussed this.

- Q You had discussions with Sean Woods about whether Rolling Stone should publish the rape school quote, didn't you?
- A I had a discussion to make sure that we were making it clear enough that this came from Jackie's recollection of this conversation. I don't believe -- I mean, yeah, we definitely
 - Q Isn't it true that you told Sean Woods that this quote came only from Jackie and that you couldn't confirm it any other away?
- 12 A Yes, I did. And I believed we made that clear in the way

 13 that we wrote it.
 - Q Sean Woods made the decision to publish this quote, correct?
- 16 A Yes, ultimately it was his decision.
- 17 | Q Let's go to page RS004868. Middle column.
 - Do you see a quote there from a young woman named Rachel Soltis?
- 20 A Yes, I do.
- 21 Q Rachel Soltis is quoted in this draft and in the final
 22 version of the article as saying, "They should have done
 23 something in Jackie's case. Me and several other people know
 24 exactly who did this to her."

25 Do you see that?

A Yes, I do.

1

2

3

4

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q You spoke with Rachel Soltis prior to the publication of the article when you were doing your fact-checking, correct?
 - A Yes, sir, I did.
- Now, both this draft and the final version of the article claim that Rachel Soltis or at least Ms. Erdely claims that Rachel Soltis told her that she knew who gang raped Jackie, correct?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q At the time you were fact-checking the article, you were
 11 aware that Rolling Stone had no idea who any of these supposed
 12 gang rapists were, correct?
- 13 A Yes, that's true.
- 14 Q You saw in this draft article that Erdely had included
 15 this supposed quote from Rachel Soltis claiming that Rachel
 16 Soltis knew who they were, right?
 - A This was in Sabrina's transcript of her conversation with Rachel, so I had no reason to not believe that it was absolutely accurate, and I discussed -- I discussed many things with Rachel, and I believe all of the points made in here we discussed.
 - Q You did not verify the accuracy of this quote with Rachel Soltis, did you? You did not ask Rachel Soltis if she said this to Ms. Erdely?
 - A No. I mean, I -- we generally don't read back quotes,

but I did discuss the substance of this quote. We didn't go through who exactly did this to her.

- Q So you had in front of you when you were fact-checking the article this supposed quote from Rachel Soltis saying, "Me and several other people know exactly who did this to her," and you the fact checker for Rolling Stone magazine did not ask Rachel Soltis who? Am I correct?
- A Yes. I did not feel it was my role as fact checker to try and independently track these people down when I knew Sabrina had been working on it for a long time.
- Q You did not feel it was your role as the fact checker for Rolling Stone magazine to verify that any of these nine supposed gang rapists exists; is that your testimony?
- A I had felt that we had verified that this had taken place. I did not work with Rachel to try to independently track down these individuals, that's true.
- Q Still in this fact-checking draft, Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 43, still on page RS004868, I want to look at this description of Jackie e-mailing Ms. Eramo after the supposed bottle attack.

Do you see that?

- A I'm sorry. Can you point out -- oh, here we go. Yes.
- Q It says of Jackie, "She e-mailed Dean Eramo so they could discuss the attack and discuss another matter too which was troubling Jackie a great deal. Because through her

ever-expanding network, Jackie had come across something deeply disturbing: Two other young women who, she says, confided that they too had recently been Phi Kappa Psi gang rape victims."

Do you see that?

- A Yes, I do.
- Q At the time Rolling Stone published "A Rape On Campus," it did not know who these supposed other victims were, correct?
- 10 A We had first names.
- 11 Q You had first names or nicknames that Jackie used when describing these girls, correct?
- 13 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 14 | Q Okay. I believe it was Maddie and Becky?
- **15 ■** A Yes.
- 16 Q You did not have last names for these young women,
 17 correct?
- A No, we didn't. Jackie had told us that these girls had come to her in confidence. I believe in another part of the article we talk about how she was having, you know, young women come to her and tell her about their experiences. So we took this to be, you know, examples of that. And that she was not comfortable giving us their full names because they had come to her in confidence.
 - Q Okay. So it was your understanding as the fact checker

for Rolling Stone prior to publication that Ms. Erdely had asked Jackie to put her in touch with these young women; is that correct?

A Yes.

- Q And Jackie had declined to provide full names or contact information for these individuals, correct?
- A Yes. But she did provide a series of text messages between her and one of the victims in which the victim seemed very scathing in her rejection of talking to Rolling Stone.
- Q So Jackie declined a request to actually provide these women's names or contact information so that Rolling Stone, Ms. Erdely or you, could speak with them, correct?
- A Yes, that's true.
 - Q At the time Rolling Stone published "A Rape On Campus," isn't it true that Rolling Stone magazine had not spoken to anyone other than Jackie who claimed to know who these two other alleged Phi Kappa Psi victims were?
 - A No, though we did note that -- we did know that they were trying to figure out who they were. We did know that other individuals were also trying to figure out who these people are.
 - Q Right, but that wasn't my question, Ms. Garber-Paul.

My question was, isn't it true that Rolling Stone magazine did not speak to anyone other than Jackie who claimed to know who these two young women were?

- 1 It was our understanding that Jackie was the only 2 one who knew.
 - Nobody else had spoken with these individuals, nobody at Rolling Stone, nobody at UVa, none of Jackie's friends, correct?
- 6 That was my understanding.
- 7 As you sit here today, do you have any idea whether those 8 two other Phi Kappa Psi victims are real people or not?
- 9 I don't know either way.

Trial Exhibit 155?

- 10 The third round of fact-checking edits, is that 11 Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 45, and the big version, Plaintiff's 12
- 13 Got it. Α

3

4

- 14 Is this your third round of fact-checking notes?
- 15 Yes, it is. Α
- 16 That's the one that says "Type Final" on it?
- 17 Α Yes, exactly.
- 18 And again, as with the prior two, all of the handwriting 19 on this document is yours, correct?
- 20 Α Yes.
- 21 And in this draft, you can confirm on the third page, but
- 22 I believe we now have the name of Drew for Jackie's attacker;
- 23 is that correct? We look at 4852 at the top.
- 24 A Yes. You -- wait. I might -- I think I'm missing 4852
- 25 in here.

- Q It's the page after the illustration of the young woman with handprints all over her.
- A Yeah, mine goes straight from 51 to 53.

MS. McNAMARA: Here, let me give you -- sorry. Must have been a duplication error.

THE WITNESS: Yup.

MS. McNAMARA: There you go.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

MR. PHILLIPS: And perhaps we can correct the official exhibit.

MS. McNAMARA: Yeah, yeah, tomorrow we'll put in the right one so it has the page.

BY MR. PHILLIPS:

Q I want to look at page RS0053. And specifically the caption there under the picture that says, "Partying with the Wahoos."

Do you see that?

18 ∥ A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q The caption says, "UVa students call themselves Wahoos after a fish that can drink twice its own body weight. In 2012, the year of Jackie's rape, UVa was rated the nation's top party school."

Do you see that?

A Yes.

Q And you wrote a couple notes on this caption. You wrote

- on the left-hand side, "Does this need alleged?"

 Do you see that?
- A Yes.

- Q And you were suggesting that it be edited to say "In 2012, the year of Jackie's alleged rape, UVa was rated the nation's top party school," correct?
- A Yes, that was my suggestion.
- Q The note in the top right says, "Says she was," correct?
- 9 A Yes, that's what that says.
- 10 Q You were suggesting this be edited to say, "In 2012, the 11 year Jackie says she was raped," correct?
 - A Yes, that would have accomplished the same thing as alleged, in my opinion. They were two different suggestions to get to the same place.
 - Q Again, what you were attempting to accomplish as the fact checker for Rolling Stone in these proposed edits was to put it more on Jackie, right?
 - A Yes, though we determined that given that we make it very clear in the article that this is her alleged rape, that we were comfortable having it not in the -- not in the caption here.
 - Q Okay. And in fact, if we pull up Plaintiff's Trial

 Exhibit 1 and RS001073, that caption does not include your

 proposed edits, correct? It does not say alleged, and it does

 not say the year Jackie says she was raped, correct?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q On page RS00586 of this document, the left-hand column.
 - A I'm sorry, can you repeat that number again?
 - Q Sure. RS004856.
- A Yes.
 - MR. PHILLIPS: Not there yet. I want to go to the left-hand column, please. And specifically, Brian, if you can pull up the middle paragraph of the left-hand column and include the notes there.
- 10 Q Did you write this note next to -- or after the sentence
 11 that says, "Eramo is beloved by survivors who consider her a
 12 friend and confidante," did you write this note that says,
 13 "Jackie repeatedly calls her an asset to the community"?
- 14 A Yes, I did.
- 15 Q And you were suggesting including that in the final version of the article, correct?
- 17 A I believe we included it in a different place.
- 18 Q Because that's a sentiment that Jackie repeated to you

 19 multiple times on the phone when you spoke with her, isn't it?
- 20 A Yes, and -- yes, she did. And it just seemed that this wasn't the right place to put it in.
- 22 Q Let's go to Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 1, the final
 23 published version of the article. And we're on RS001076 here,
 24 Ms. Garber-Paul.
- 25 A Yes.

- Q Do you see this photo illustration of Ms. Eramo that appeared in the article?
 - A Yes, I do.
- Q It depicts Ms. Eramo seated at her desk with a crying woman in front of her and protest imagery behind her, correct?
- **■** A Yes.

- Q Let's look at your fact-checking edits, Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 45 at RS00107 -- not 1076. That's going to be RS004856.
- Did you write the note next to this illustration of Ms. Eramo that says, "Is this too mean?"
- 12 A Yes, I wrote that.
- 13 | Q And "mean" is double underlined, correct?
- **14** A Yes, it is.
- Q And that was, in fact, your initial reaction as the fact checker for Rolling Stone magazine to seeing this proposed photo illustration of Ms. Eramo, correct?
 - A Yes, though like I said before, part of my job as the fact checker is to be very overly literal in looking at words and images on the page, and I took this to perhaps mean that Dean Eramo was turning her back on the student activist community at UVa, which, as we clearly state in the article, she does not. She's very supportive of the activist community at UVa.
 - And after sitting on this for a minute and having a

- discussion with Sean, I realized that this didn't mean that

 Dean Eramo was not supportive of activists on campus. It

 just -- we were trying to have multiple things in this

 illustration, both Dean Eramo sitting in front of this student

 and counseling her and also the fact that there were these

 kinds of protests happening.
 - Q So you did, in fact, discuss this question of yours with Sean Woods, the deputy managing editor of Rolling Stone magazine, correct?
- 10 A Yes, I did.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

- 11 Q You took that question to Sean Woods, was this too mean?
- 12 A As part of the rest of my fact-checking of this round,
 13 yes.
- 14 Q And Sean Woods discussed the image with you, and the
 15 ultimate conclusion reached by Rolling Stone magazine was that
 16 this was not too mean, and it was, in fact, published in the
 17 final version of the article, correct?
 - A Yes. And we made sure to say in the caption just how beloved she was.
- 20 \parallel Q The caption that says, "Where is the justice," correct?
- 21 A Yes. That was referring to the no one has ever been expelled for sexual assault.
- Q Let's pull up Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 27. This is the Columbia Journalism Report. And I want to go to the page Eramo 04558.

A Give me one moment, please.

Yes, I'm here. Sorry.

Q Okay. I want to ask you about the last sentence in the second paragraph under the heading "Fact-checking above my pay grade."

It says, "The checker did not provide the school, UVa, with the details of Jackie's account to Erdely of her assault at Phi Kappa Psi."

Is that correct?

- A Yes, that's correct. And I --
- Q That was me. Sorry.
 - A I didn't believe that -- that the press department at the University of Virginia was the correct source to talk through the specific details of what happened to Jackie in that room that night.
 - Q The article mentions another victim named Stacy; is that correct?
- 18 | A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- 19 Q Now, isn't it true that Rolling Stone did provide UVa
 20 with details that it intended to publish about Stacy's case?
- 21 A That had been an adjudicated case, so I think that was a
 22 different -- that was slightly different because they had
 23 already gone through, like, a process with the school, so it
 24 was -- that was different in my opinion.
 - Q Okay. And Rolling Stone did, in fact, tell -- or, I'm

sorry, UVa did, in fact, tell Rolling Stone that the details it intended to publish about Stacy's case were incorrect; is that right?

A It was my understanding that the details they informed us after publication they believed us to have incorrect had to do with the adjudication process with UVa, not with the details of what happened to that woman.

Q Okay. But -- so that's a fair qualification.

So UVa told Rolling Stone that what they intended to publish about that victim's experience with the UVa administration and her case was incorrect; is that right?

A Yes, but that did not have to do with what happened in that room with her that night. That was not her details of her rape. That was what happened with her in the process of dealing with UVa.

Q And that's fair.

Did you provide UVa with any of the details that you intended to publish about Jackie's interactions with Ms. Eramo?

A No. They told us that they were not at liberty to speak with us about the details of what happened with a particular student and Dean Eramo.

Q Let's look at the next paragraph. Under the heading,
"The editors invested Rolling Stone's reputation in a single source."

Do you see that?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q This paragraph from Columbia says, "The checker" -- and that's referring to you, right?
- A Yes.
- Q Says, "The checker did try to improve the story's reporting and attribution of quotations concerning the three friends. She marked on a draft that Ryan-Randall under pseudonym-had not been interviewed, and that his shitshow quote had originated with Jackie. 'Put this on Jackie,' the checker wrote? 'Any way we can confirm with him?'"

And we saw those questions from you earlier, correct?

- 13 | A Yes.
 - Q Columbia went on to say, "She said she talked about this problem with clarity with Woods and Erdely."

And they quote you as saying, "I pushed. They came to the conclusion that they were comfortable with not making it clear to readers that they had never contacted Ryan."

Did Columbia quote you accurately?

- A Yes. The quote I believe ends with comfortable. The rest is Columbia's interpretation.
- Q And you told Columbia that you pushed Woods and Erdely, correct?
- 24 A I did. We had a conversation like we discussed before 25 that we might want to make this more clearly that it was

- coming from Jackie and that we as magazine -- as writers had not been able to be in contact with Ryan directly.
 - Q And Mr. Woods and Ms. Erdely came to the conclusion that they were comfortable with not making those changes that you had pushed for, correct?
- A Yes.

- Q Ms. Garber-Paul, isn't it true that as a fact checker, one of your duties is to confront sources about inconsistencies in their story?
- 10 A Yes, it is.
 - Q Isn't it true that prior to publication, you were aware that Jackie's story of her supposed sexual assault had changed over time?
 - A I was aware that it had changed, yes.
- 15 Q Isn't it true that during your fact-checking calls with
 16 Jackie, you never once confronted her about the fact that her
 17 story had changed?
 - A I don't recall confronting her about that because I didn't see that as something that -- it wasn't something that troubled me deeply because I knew and -- through conversations I'd had with other people involved in this story and outside of this story that often a victim of traumatic sexual assaults, their story solidifies over time. And I was aware that that could happen.
 - And when I was talking to Jackie, I made sure that I had

her recollection to the best of her knowledge.

- Q Okay. But you never asked Jackie about the stories that she told other people before she spoke to you. You never asked her about that, correct?
- A Which specific stories are you referring to?
- Q Well, you tell me. You said you were aware that Jackie's story had changed over time. What was your understanding?
- A I was aware that others had recalled her saying that it was five individuals, not seven. And I clarified with her that it was seven. And that was really the only thing that I was aware of.

I found out after publication that there had been a question of whether it was forced oral sex or forced vaginal sex. I can't say whether or not I would have talked to her about that because I didn't -- I wasn't aware of that until after publication.

- Q Okay. So Ms. Erdely never told you prior to publication that Jackie's story had originally been one of oral sex rather than of vaginal gang rape, correct?
- 20 A I don't recall having that conversation.
 - Q When you said you were aware of Jackie having originally told the story involving maybe five or six men, were you referring to Emily Renda's testimony?
- 24 A That was one of them, yeah.
- 25 PHILLIPS: Brian, if we could pull up Plaintiff's

- 1 Trial Exhibit 21.
- 2 Q And I just want to confirm: Is this a document, Miss
- 3 Garber-Paul, that you had available to you for fact-checking
- 4 | the article prior to publication?
- 5 | A Yes.
- 6 Q Yes, you had it available to you?
- 7 A Sorry. Yes, yes, I did have it available.
- 8 Q And you never asked Jackie any questions about Miss
- 9 Renda's senate testimony; is that correct?
- 10 A I didn't ask her specifically about the senate testimony.
- 11 I asked how many men there were, and she described to me that
- 12 | there were seven.
- 13 \mathbb{Q} You never asked her if she had told others a different
- 14 | number; is that correct?
- 15 | A I don't recall having that conversation with her, no.
- 16 MR. PHILLIPS: Brian, let's pull up Plaintiff's
- 17 | Trial Exhibit 18.
- 18 Q Do you recognize Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 18, Miss
- 19 | Garber-Paul?
- 20 A Yes, I do.
- 21 Q I believe we've been referring to these as the police
- 22 e-mails.
- 23 Are you aware that these are e-mails that Jackie
- 24 | forwarded to Ms. Erdely showing her communications with
- 25 Ms. Eramo regarding meetings with the police in the spring of

1 2014? 2 Yes. And Sabrina forwarded them -- provided them to me 3 as well. 4 Q Okay. And that's what I wanted to ask. 5 I wanted to confirm that as the fact checker for Rolling 6 Stone magazine, you had these e-mails available to you when 7 you were fact-checking the article prior to publication? 8 Yes, I did. A MR. PHILLIPS: Brian, could we pull up Plaintiff's 9 10 Trial Exhibit 13, please? 11 Q Miss Garber-Paul, is this a document that you had 12 available to you for your fact-checking prior to the 13 publication of "A Rape On Campus"? 14 A Yes, it is. 15 And these are e-mails between Ms. Eramo and Jackie, 16 correct? 17 Α Yes. 18 MR. PHILLIPS: If I may approach, Your Honor? 19 THE COURT: You may. 20 21 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 156 marked for identification.) 22 23 Miss Garber-Paul, I'm handing what you what we've marked 24 for identification as Plaintiff's 362.

25

Α

Yes.

```
1
              MR. PHILLIPS: I'll be with you in just a moment.
 2
    Let me confirm what the document is with Miss Garber-Paul.
 3
         Miss Garber-Paul, this is a chain of e-mails between you
 4
    and Sean Woods in December of 2014?
 5
         Yes, it seems to be an e-mail he sent me and a response I
    Α
 6
    sent back.
7
        Okay.
8
              MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, at this time I would move the
9
    admission of this document as Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit --
10
              THE CLERK: Plaintiff's 156.
11
              MR. PHILLIPS: 156.
12
              THE COURT: 156 without objection.
13
14
              (Plaintiff's Exhibit 156 admitted into evidence.)
15
16
         Miss Garber-Paul, the first e-mail in this chain at the
17
    bottom, is that an e-mail from Sean Woods to you on December
18
    11th, 2014, at 8:25 p.m.?
19
    A Yes, it is.
20
         And it looks like Mr. Woods sent you a link to an ABC
21
    News article about questions being raised about Rolling
22
    Stone's UVa's rape story; is that correct?
23
        Yes, that's true.
    Α
24
    Q You responded to Woods at 8:28 p.m., correct?
25
         Yes, that appears to be true.
```

Three minutes later? 1 2 Yes. 3 And you sent him the University of Virginia e-mail 4 addresses for Kathryn Hendley and Alex Stock, correct? 5 Α Correct, I did. 6 Okay. Am I correct that you were able to find these 7 e-mail addresses in three minutes? 8 Once we had the last names, yes. Α 9 And did you find them using that publicly-available 10 University of Virginia directory? 11 A Yes, I did. 12 MR. PHILLIPS: I'd like this marked for 13 identification as PX 281. 14 15 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 157 marked for identification.) 16 17 Miss Garber-Paul, do you recognize the document I've 18 handed you as an e-mail exchange in March of 2015 between you 19 and Andy Young? 20 Α Yes, I do. 21 And Andy Young was the fact checker for the Columbia 22 Journalism Report; is that correct? 23 A Yes, he was. 24 MR. PHILLIPS: At this time I'd move the admission

of what's been marked for identification as PX 281 as

Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 157.

THE COURT: 157 without objection.

- - -

(Plaintiff's Exhibit 157 admitted into evidence.)

- - -

Q Miss Garber-Paul, do you see that Mr. Young asked you when fact-checking the Columbia report: "Hi, Liz. I just want to triple check whether you contacted the national Phi Kappa Psi office to ask for comment about the allegations or whether Sabrina was the only one to do so. Also, if you did, did you go into the granular details of the alleged assault or did you leave it at 'a gang rape at UVa's Phi Psi house in September 2012'"?

Do you see that?

- A Yes, it's an e-mail I received.
- Q Okay. Let's look at your response to Mr. Young.

You told Mr. Young, "Hey, Andy. Sabrina had been in contact with Phi Psi. She had been back and forth with the national chapter (who had in my understanding visited the UVa after she contacted them) and had gotten a short response from the UVa chapter. Sabrina told me that they had closed ranks and weren't offering any information other than they were looking into it and a denial. Sabrina told me that she did not think that they were going to provide any additional information, so I did not follow up further."

- Is that a response that you sent to Mr. Young?
- 2 A Yes, I wrote that.

3

4

7

13

14

15

- Q Is everything in that e-mail true?
- A Yes, that was our understanding.
- Q Okay. Ms. Erdely told you that Phi Psi had closed ranks,
 6 correct, and were not offering any information?
 - A That was my understanding, yes.
- 8 Q Okay. And you independently, as the fact checker for 9 Rolling Stone, did not reach out to anyone at Phi Kappa Psi 10 prior to publication of the article; is that correct?
- 11 A I was comfortable with the responses we had gotten from 12 Phi Kappa Psi.
 - Q Okay. The answer to my question is, yes, as the fact checker for Rolling Stone magazine, you did not reach out to anyone at Phi Kappa Psi prior to the publication of "A Rape On Campus"?
- 17 A Yes, that's true.
- 18 Q Let's pull up Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 46, please. It
 19 should be in the same big binder in front of you.
- 20 \parallel A This guy here? I think it ends at 45.
- 21 Q Oh, it might be another binder. It should be the first 22 one in here. I'll trade with you.
- 23 A This one here?
- 24 Q Yup.
- 25 A Thank you.

1 Q Do you recognize Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 46 as a
2 November 2014 e-mail chain between you and Anthony de Bruyn?
3 A Yes, I do.
4 Q Mr. de Bruyn was a communications -- an individual in

communications with the University of Virginia, correct?

- A Yes, he was my contact who I was instructed to go through for everything UVa-related.
- Q I want to ask you about the November 12, 2014, 1:04 p.m. from Mr. de Bruyn to you. That's on RS013302.
- A Sure.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q You had asked Mr. De Bruyn, "Is it accurate to say that the UVa administration believes that Rolling Stone publishing this story might discourage sexual assault victims from coming forward in the future?"

Do you see that?

- A Yes.
 - Q Mr. de Bruyn's response was, "We are concerned that any story be factually accurate and clearly state that students are encouraged by us to report sexual misconduct, including sexual assault."

Do you see that?

- A Yes, I see that.
- 23 \parallel Q Was that quote from Mr. de Bruyn included in the article?
- 24 A No, but I certainly kept it in mind as I was
- 25 | fact-checking.

Q Let's look at Mr. de Bruyn's response to your fifth question, below that.

Mr. de Bruyn told you, "We believe that all students are entitled to appropriate due process, including students accused of sexual assault. An alleged assault would need to have been fully and fairly adjudicated before the Sexual Misconduct Board, giving the accused student a right to appear and present evidence before any sanction could be imposed based upon that alleged assault. Again, the Sexual Misconduct Board is required to consider suspension or expulsion for any student found responsible for sexual misconduct."

Do you see that?

A Yes, I do.

Q And finally, I wanted to go back to Mr. de Bruyn's November 11th, 2014 e-mail. This is RSO13304.

You asked Mr. de Bruyn whether Dean Eramo was the primary intake person for any complaints.

Do you see that?

- 19 A Yes, I do.
 - Q Mr. de Bruyn told you, "It is important to note that a complaint is not the same as reporting and/or making the office of the dean of students aware that an incident may have occurred (i.e., consulting with Dean Eramo). A complaint means a student has elected to invoke the university's formal disciplinary process."

Do you see that?

- A Yes, I remember going through this in detail. It was a bit confusing trying to figure out exactly what the terminology was, so I know we kept -- I know we had a long conversation about that on the phone, and we kind of kept coming back to it.
- Q Okay. Was it your understanding at the time you were fact-checking the article that Jackie had not filed a formal complaint with the University of Virginia?
- A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 11 Q So Jackie's allegations had not been adjudicated,
 12 correct?
- 13 A Yes, absolutely.
- 14 Q Do you ever ask Mr. de Bruyn whether Jackie's allegations 15 had been reported to the Charlottesville Police Department?
 - A No. Again, I was not -- I was told to not bring up specifics of the allegations and specific students with university -- the university press department, so...
 - Q Did you, as the fact checker for Rolling Stone magazine, ever ask Jackie her waiver -- for her waiver so that you could obtain her records from the University of Virginia?
- 22 | A No, I was not aware that that was an option.
 - Q Sabrina Rubin Erdely never mentioned that option to you?
- 24 A That there was a way to get a waiver to receive her 25 documents? No. I don't remember having that conversation,

but I know I wasn't aware of it.

THE COURT: Did Ms. Erdely provide you with a tape, a recording, of her interview with the UVa president?

THE WITNESS: No. It's usually standard for us to just get transcripts of all of these conversations, because if we have to sit there and listen to all the tape in real time...

THE COURT: Did you get that transcript?

THE WITNESS: I did get that transcript, yes.

BY MR. PHILLIPS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

Q Miss Garber-Paul, I want to recap some of the characters that are in the article with you, the fact checker, and figure out what Rolling Stone did or didn't know at the time of publication.

I want to start with the man named Randall in the article. His real name is Ryan Duffin, correct?

- A Okay.
- Q Rolling Stone did not know Randall's real name at the time of publication, correct?
- 20 A We did not know his full name, that's true.
 - Q The article does not disclose to readers that Rolling Stone did not know Randall's full name, correct?
 - A No, it does not.
- 24 Q And Jackie had refused to provide Ms. Erdely and Rolling 25 Stone with Randall's name and contact information, correct?

- 1 A Yes, she declined to do so. It was my understanding that
 2 she declined to do so based on his wishes. But, yes, we did
 3 not have his contact information.
 - Q The article does not disclose to readers that Jackie declined to provide Ryan's real name and contact information to Rolling Stone, correct?
 - A Yes, that's true.
 - Q Cindy, real name Kathryn Hendley. Rolling Stone did not know Cindy's real name at the time of publication, correct?
- 10 | A That's true.

5

6

7

8

- 11 Q The article does not disclose to readers that Rolling
 12 Stone did not know Cindy's real name at the time of
 13 publication, correct?
- 14 A We did not know her full name, and we did not disclose 15 that in the article, that's true.
- 16 Q Jackie had refused to provide Cindy's real name and contact info to Ms. Erdely and to you, correct?
- 18 A She did not provide it to either of us, that's true.
- 19 Q And you all asked for it, right?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q The article does not disclose to readers that Jackie 22 refused to provide Cindy's real name and contact information 23 to Rolling Stone, correct?
- 24 A Yes, that's true.
- 25 | Q The third friend, Andy, his real name is Alex Stock?

A Yes.

- 2 Q Rolling Stone did not know Andy's real name at the time
- 3 of publication, correct?
- 4 | A Yes, that's true.
- 5 Q The article does not disclose to readers that Rolling
- 6 Stone did not know Andy's real name at the time of
- 7 | publication, correct?
- 8 A We did not know his full name, yes, and we don't disclose
- 9 that. We knew his real first name.
- 10 | Q Jackie had refused to provide Andy's last name or contact
- 11 | information to Ms. Erdely and to you, correct?
- 12 A Yes. We did not obtain that from Jackie.
- 13 | Q And the article -- in the article, Rolling Stone did not
- 14 disclose to its readers that Jackie had refused to provide
- 15 | that information, correct?
- 16 A Yes. That's not made clear.
- 17 | Q Okay. And there's the supposed ringleader of the gang
- 18 | rape, Drew/Tom/Jay, correct?
- 19 | A Yes.
- 20 | Q Rolling Stone did not know Drew's real name at the time
- 21 of publication, correct?
- 22 A Yes. We did not know his full name.
- 23 Q The article does not disclose to readers that Rolling
- 24 | Stone did not know Drew's real name, correct?
- 25 A No, it does not say in there that we don't have his full

name.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Jackie refused to provide Drew's real name and contact information to Ms. Erdely and to Rolling Stone, correct?
- A Yes. We could not obtain that. She told us she was afraid of her attackers.
 - Q And the article does not disclose to Rolling Stone's readers that Jackie had refused to provide Drew's real name or contact information, correct?
- A Yes. We don't make that explicitly clear.
- 10 Q Then there's the other alleged rapist that allegedly
 11 assaulted Jackie with a bottle and was in her tiny
 12 anthropology discussion group. Rolling Stone did not know
 13 that man's name at the time of publication, correct?
- 14 A Yes, that's true.
- 15 Q The article does not disclose to readers that Rolling
 16 Stone did not know who that man was, correct?
- 17 \parallel A No, we did not.
 - Q Jackie had refused to provide that man's name to Rolling Stone, correct?
 - A Yes, though again, she told us she was afraid of her attackers and uncomfortable disclosing the names of them. And we were working under -- we were working under that reality.
 - Q The article does not disclose to readers that Jackie refused to provide any of her attackers' names to Rolling Stone, correct?

- A Yes, we did not -- we didn't explicitly say that we didn't have their names in the article.
 - Q Then there are the two other alleged Phi Psi gang rape victims, who Jackie claimed to you-all were called Maddie and Becky, correct?
 - A Yes, but I don't believe we used those names in the article.
 - Q Rolling Stone did not know these two other alleged victims' real names at the time of publication, correct?
 - A Yes. We only had first names.

- Q The article does not disclose to Rolling Stone's readers that Rolling Stone magazine did not know these women's identities, correct?
- A Yes, but give me one moment.
- Yes, though we don't actually, like, name them as individuals in this. We said that she had come across -- she says that she had been confided that they knew they were -- that she had been told about these gang rape victims.
- So I actually felt it was clear that since we talk about this being confided to her, told to her in confidence, that I don't think we're making -- though we don't make it clear that we don't know, I don't think we're -- I don't think it appears to a reader necessarily that we have their contact information.
- Q Well, the final article actually says neither woman was

willing to speak with Rolling Stone, doesn't it?

- A Yes, and that was our understanding based on text messages and also speaking with Jackie, who we took to be a very credible witness.
- Q Doesn't that disclaimer suggest to readers that Rolling Stone contacted them and they declined to speak with Rolling Stone?
- A What is the wording again?

 Can you point this out to me where this is?
- O Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 1 at RS001078.
- A Okay.

- 12 Q Do you see the paragraph that begins "a bruise still mottling her face"?
 - A Yes.
 - Neither woman was willing to talk to RS. I think that could -- that doesn't necessarily mean that we were able to find them and reach out for comment. We knew that neither woman was willing to talk to RS.
 - Q The article certainly does not disclose to readers that Rolling Stone didn't know who these women were. You agree with that, right?
- A No, but we don't ever say that we do know who they are.

 I mean, we say that they -- they had confided in Jackie, which

 to me implies that there's a certain confidence. And then we

 say that neither woman was willing to talk to RS.

So, yes, we didn't make it explicitly clear that we weren't able to locate them, but I don't think we were misleading readers.

- Q Do you agree or disagree that the article suggests that there were two other victims of gang rapes at Phi Kappa Psi similar to Jackie's?
- A Yes, we do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q And the article does not disclose to readers that Rolling Stone did not know who those women were at the time of publication, correct?
- 11 A Yes, that's true.
- Q Okay. The article also does not disclose to readers that

 Jackie declined to provide those women's names and contact

 information to Rolling Stone, correct?
- 15 A No, we don't explicitly disclose that.
- 16 Q Now, the article also attributes to Jackie certain claims
 17 about Ms. Eramo, doesn't it?
- 18 A Yes, it does.
- 19 Q For example, it says that Jackie claimed that Ms. Eramo 20 called UVa the rape school, right?
- 21 A Yes. And we have that coming from Jackie.
- 22 Q That's right. And it also says that Ms. Eramo 23 discouraged Jackie from sharing her story, correct?
- 24 A We had that she discouraged her from sharing her story 25 with Rolling Stone.

- Q It doesn't say with Rolling Stone, does it? It says, discouraged her from sharing her story.
- A Yes, it does, but in the context of that paragraph, I think it's pretty clear that we're talking about this story particularly.
- And -- because we do have other places in the article where Dean Eramo does say that it's up to her if she wants to talk about her story.
- Q The article also attributes to Jackie the claim that Ms. Eramo had a nonreaction to hearing about the two other alleged Phi Kappa Psi gang rape victims, correct?
- A Yes, though I took that to mean that Dean Eramo did not have the same reaction as the other, you know, 20-year-old people that had heard about this who might have a more emotional reaction. I took nonreaction as the same no-nonsense professional manner that I heard from other people at UVa and I witnessed myself in the WUVA video.
- Q Do you agree with me that the "rape school" quote, the "discouraged Jackie from sharing her story," and the "nonreaction," do you agree that those were all sourced from Jackie?
- A The "rape school" quote, the "discouraged" --
- 23 Q That Ms. Eramo discouraged her from sharing her story --
- 24 A Uh-huh.

Q -- and Ms. Eramo had a nonreaction to hearing about the

1 two other alleged Phi Kappa Psi gang rape victims. 2 Do you agree with me that all of those claims in the 3 article are sourced to Jackie? 4 Yes, and I believe we make it clear as well, for all 5 three of those, that she is our source for that. 6 But the readers of Rolling Stone who read those claims 7 about Ms. Eramo sourced from Jackie were not told that Jackie 8 refused to identify to Rolling Stone: Andy, Cindy, Kathryn, 9 the two other alleged Phi Kappa Psi gang rape victims, Drew, 10 the eight other alleged rapists. 11 None of that was disclosed to readers, correct? 12 Not as -- not explicitly no, yeah. 13 Don't you think that Rolling Stone readers might have 14 evaluated the claims about Ms. Eramo in the article 15 differently if the article had disclosed to readers that 16 Jackie refused to provide all of that information to Rolling 17 Stone? 18 I think it would have certainly shaped their view, but I 19 can't tell you what they would have or would not have -- what 20 conclusions they would have come to. 21 MR. PHILLIPS: Miss Garber-Paul, I have no further 22 questions for you at this time. 23 I'll pass the witness.

THE COURT: So we'll call it a day, Ms. McNamara.

MS. McNAMARA: That's what I was going to suggest,

24

Your Honor, given the hour. Thank you.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, this will be the end of today's session of the trial, and we'll send you home after having done a hard day's work.

I would again ask you that while you're away from the Court you not discuss the case with anyone at home, don't permit anyone to discuss it with you. You know the drill.

Don't listen to anything said about the case on the radio or TV, don't read any account in the newspaper, and certainly do not try to undertake any research about the case on your own.

Put the matter out of your minds, come back tomorrow refreshed and ready for another day's evidence.

And I'll ask the witness not to discuss her pending testimony with counsel from either side till the cross-examination tomorrow.

You folks are excused. Thank you.

(The jury was excused for the day at 6:00 p.m.)

THE COURT: Let me ask Counsel -- you all can have a seat for just a moment.

We have received another communication from a juror, and it's not a difficult proposition.

Miss Lisa Story reports that she has a preplanned event that she is hosting on Friday night. "I have changed the time from 6 to 7 p.m. I would like to request a dismissal of 5:30 on this coming Friday to allow me travel time home."

I see no reason not to grant the request. They've been 1 2 such a diligent, hard-working jury. 3 MS. McNAMARA: I couldn't agree more, Your Honor. 4 We've asked a lot of these people. 5 THE COURT: It's hard to deny her her extra half 6 hour. So we'll announce tomorrow that that's going to be 7 granted. 8 I hand the note to Miss Moody and ask her to make the 9 juror's note a part of the record in the case. 10 Is there anything else that needs to be taken up this 11 afternoon from anyone? 12 MS. McNAMARA: No, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Well, maybe, maybe not. 14 MR. FINNEY: Just one housekeeping matter, Your 15 Honor. 16 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 17 MR. FINNEY: To follow up on our conversation in 18 chambers, I did want to tender the defendants' joint defense 19 and confidentiality agreement for the Court's in camera 20 review. It has not been provided to plaintiff's counsel. 21 We'd also ask this be filed under seal. 22 THE COURT: I take it there's no objection to it 23 being filed under seal at the present time. It may have

additional relevance down the road, but as of today, it seems

that that's the appropriate disposition for the agreement.

24